Pennsylvania Potato Research Report, 2012

Edited by:

Barbara J. Christ & Xinshun Qu

Department of Plant Pathology & Environmental Microbiology

The Pennsylvania State University

University Park, PA 16802

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
Executive summary	i
Progress report - Pennsylvania Regional Potato Germplasm Evaluation Program	1
Yield and harvest data tables	3
Management of evaluation trials	30
Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to late blight	31
Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to early blight	32
Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to powdery scab	33
Evaluation of fungicides for control of potato late blight	34
Evaluation of fungicides for control of potato early blight	35
Supplemental progress report	36
Chipping, French fry and cooking data tables	38
Notes on fresh colors of potato varieties/lines	50

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Penn State's Department of Plant Pathology potato research program can be categorized into five areas: 1) variety breeding and evaluation, 2) breeding for disease resistance (focused on early and late blight and powdery scab), 3) biology and genetic variability of potato pathogens (focused on early and late blight and powdery scab), 4) chemical control and 5) integrated pest management of potatoes. Many of these projects are long term and only yearly results are presented here.

1. Variety Breeding and Evaluation

At the Rock Springs location the trials included 68 round whites with a few yellow flesh, 35 red-skinned (a few purple skinned) and 43 russet or long white types. The Lehigh location had 40 lines and Erie location had 40 lines. Breeding lines were contributed by the USDA-ARS, New York, Maine, Michigan, Idaho and a few other sources. See **Progress report** - **Pennsylvania Regional Potato Germplasm Evaluation Program, 2012 on pages 1-2 and tables from different locations on pages 3-30, and supplemental progress report on pages 36-37 and tables from different locations on pages 38-50.**

2. Breeding for Disease Resistance

There are several projects focused around a cultivated diploid species hybrid population that can be easily intercrossed with common varieties. These are long term projects dealing with early and late blight resistance as well as powdery scab resistance. Results of these projects will not be presented here but results of small trials evaluating soon to be released lines for their reaction to early blight, late blight and powdery scab are presented. In three separate field trials, 72, 35 and 36 varieties and advanced breeding lines were evaluated for disease resistance to late blight, early blight, and powdery scab, respectively.

Kennebec was considered the moderately resistant check and B0718-3 was the resistant check to late blight. Rochdale Gold-Doree, Yukon Gem, NY150, AF3317-15, NYE106-4, Premier Russet, Classic Russet, JOMA, Alpine Russet, Dakota Trailblazer, BNC182-5, LBR3, LBR4, MSP516-A, AWN86514-2, MSQ131-A, A02507-2LB, B0692-4, MSR061-1, AF4122-3, AF3317-15, LBR2, LBR7, A02424-83LB, AF4191-2, AND99362B-1Russ, AF4677-1, AF4329-7, and LBR1 were considered resistant to moderately resistant. See **Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to late blight, 2012 page 31.**

Seventeen cultivars/lines were classified as resistant to moderately resistant to early blight, and they are: Premier Russet, Classic Russet, AF3317-15, Dakota Trailblazer, NYE106-4, Kennebec, JOMA, Russet Burbank, BNC182-5, Katahdin, Alpine Russet, Snowden, AF3001-6, Dakota Crisp, Yukon Gem, Superior, and Chieftain. See **Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to early blight, 2012 page 32.**

The powdery scab disease pressure was low this year thus making it difficult to separate cultivars/lines into groups (resistant, moderately resistant, moderately susceptible, and susceptible). Based on our past years' data, Kennebec and Shepody should be susceptible, and Russet Burbank should be moderately resistant. Cultivars and breeding lines with less

powdery scab than Dark Red Norland indicate some level of resistance. See Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to powdery scab, 2012 page 33.

3. Chemical Control of Potato Diseases

In the late blight fungicide trial 14 different treatments were compared to an untreated control. All of the treatments, except for CX-10250 3.0 oz and CX-10440 6.5 oz, significantly suppressed season-long foliar late blight compared to the untreated control. All of the treatments, except for CX-10440 at both the 6.5 oz and 13.0 oz rates, had significantly higher yields than the untreated control. See **Evaluation of fungicides for control of potato late blight, 2012 pages 34.**

In the early blight fungicide trial 5 different treatments were compared to an untreated control. All treatments significantly reduced season-long early blight compared to the untreated control. All of the treatments, except for treatments CX-10440 at both the 6.5 oz 13.0 oz rates, had yields significantly higher than the untreated control. See **Evaluation of fungicides** for control of potato early blight, 2012 pages 35.

Progress Report---December 20, 2012

Pennsylvania Regional Potato Germplasm Evaluation Program, 2012

Barbara J. Christ, Xinshun Qu, and Michael Peck Department of Plant Pathology and Environmental Microbiology The Pennsylvania State University

The objective of this project is to find new breeding lines that have adaptation to Pennsylvania potato growing regions, and have qualities that are suitable for either processing or tablestock use. We cooperate with the project leaders of several other potato breeding programs from the Northeast US and a few programs from the Midwest US and Canada by evaluating their potato germplasm. Data from this project helps breeders determine which lines to focus on for potential release as new varieties and also allows you to focus on very specific lines that may be released in the near future.

Replicated and non-replicated plots were established at the following locations: Lehigh Co. (Tables 1-2), Erie Co. (Tables 3-4) and Rock Springs, Centre Co. (Tables 5-12). location had 40 non-replicated lines. The Erie location had 40 lines non-replicate lines. At the Rock Springs location the trials included 52 round whites with a few yellow flesh, 23 redskinned (a few purple skinned) and 36 russet or long white types in replicated plots, and an additional 16 whites, 12 red-skinned and 7 russet or long white types planted in non-replicated observational plots. At Lehigh Co. and Erie Co. locations, the seed spacing was 8-inch within a 20-ft plot except for the russets that were at 10-inch. At Rock Springs location, the seed spacing was 8-inch within a 10-ft plot except for the russets that were at 10-inch. At the Rock Springs location, a green mustard manure crop 'Caliente 199' was grown after the wheat The mustard crop was flail chopped and plowed down to incorporate into the field the previous year. Commercial trials of three varieties (AF3001-6, Markies and Lady Lenora) were conducted at three locations: Lehigh Co., Erie Co. and Rock Springs, Centre Co (Table 13). All other pertinent information for individual trials is found within the data tables or in Table 14. We assessed yield, tuber size, internal defects and external defects. skin color, texture, tuber shape, specific gravity and overall appearance. Chip quality tests and culinary tests will be conducted over the next few months. Management information for each site is provided in Table 14.

To interpret this data, one needs to know the yields for the check cultivars such as Atlantic, Snowden, Katahdin, Chieftain, Dark Red Norland, Russet Norkotah or Superior on your farm. Then compare the typical yield for this year on your farm to the data presented here. The yields tend to be inflated from these small plots but the ranking of the yields over the cultivars/lines usually is fairly consistent. Also the same method can be used to compare specific gravity and some of the other parameters. There are a few lines that will be very specific to certain environments so make the comparison to the location that best matches your own or use the Rock Springs location as a fairly typical area for most of PA.

Results:

Across the three trials there were only a few varieties and lines in common. Of those in common the following had high marketable yields relative to Atlantic yield in each of the locations. These varieties or lines were: NY140 and NY151.

In the Lehigh location the following lines also had marketable yield higher than Atlantic: Snowden, B1992-106, BNC202-3, NY140, NY141, NY148, and NY151. In Erie Co. the following also had marketable yield higher than Atlantic: Yukon Gem, Sifra, NY140, NY151, BNC182-5, CO99045-1W/Y, Opera, B2727-2, NY148, AF4157-6, AF0338-17, Dakota Trailblazer, AF3001-6, and Markies.

Based on data of replicated trials at Rock Springs, there were 5 round white clones with marketable yields significantly greater than Atlantic: Dakota Crisp, Yukon Gem, BNC182-5, NYE106-4, and AF4430-2. There were another 17 round white clones with marketable yields greater than Atlantic: Snowden, AF4013-3, B2731-11, AF4130-7, AF4376-3, B2737-2, B2738-3, NY140, NY141, NY151, ATCO0293-1W/Y, Spartan Splash, Sifra, W2324-1, AF4640-1, AF4852-4, and B2833-16.

Round White Chip-stock:

Based on data from replicated trials at Rock Springs, the following lines had higher yields than Atlantic and have specific gravities suitable for chipstock: Snowden, AF4013-3, B2731-11, BNC182-5, NYE106-4, AF4130-7, B2737-2, and W2324-1.

Round White Tablestock:

Based on data from replicated trials at Rock Springs, the following lines had higher yields than Atlantic and had specific gravities suitable for tablestock: Dakota Crisp, AF0338-17, AF4376-3, NY140, NY141, Spartan Splash, and Sifra.

Red-skinned:

Based on data of replicated trials at Rock Springs, there were 1 red-skinned or purple-skinned clones with marketable yields significantly greater than Chieftain: MSS576-05SPL. There were another 5 red-skinned or purple-skinned clones with marketable yields greater than Chieftain: BCO01044-2, MI Purple Sport, A99331-2RY, NDA050237B-1R, and AF4815-1

Russet-skinned or long white:

Based on data of replicated trials at Rock Springs, there were 1 russet-skinned clones with marketable yields significantly greater than Russet Norkotah #3117: Classic Russet. There were another 14 russet-skinned clones with marketable yields greater than Russet Norkotah #3117: Alpine Russet, Dakota Trailblazer, AF3001-6, AF4172-2, AF4113-2, AF4320-17, AF4185-1, AC00395-2RU, Markies, AF4430-1, AF4749-5, AF4749-14, and CO99045-1W/Y.

The Pennsylvania Potato Research Program and a USDA grant funded this research in conjunction with donations. This research is the result of cooperation of growers, industry and PSU staff. The growers hosting the plots provided contributions (land, fertilizer, pesticides, time, etc.). Cornell University, USDA, University of Maine, Idaho, Michigan State University breeding programs and HZPC provided seed. Special thanks to Chad Moore, Bob Leiby, Andy Muza and Sara May who made sure this project was completed.

Table 1. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, percent of standard, size distribution, percent pick outs and specific gravity for potato evaluation trial in Lehigh County, Forrest Wessner Farm, 2012

Variativ/I in a	Vield (c	Yield $(cwt/A)^1$	%	Jo %	%	% by size class ³	'S ₃		400.00	Specific
valicty) Laite	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	70FO	Gravity
Atlantic	494	447	06	100	33	50	7	0	3	1.076
Snowden	593	556	94	125	47	4	3	0	0	1.076
Reba	481	400	83	68	39	34	10	0	_	1.064
Katahdin	475	417	88	93	34	47	9	0	9	1.058
Superior	307	262	85	59	4	42	0	0	7	1.059
Yukon Gold yf	422	358	85	80	33	45	_	0	11	1.072
Chieftain	394	569	89	09	4	24	0	0	21	1.051
AF0338-17	487	442	91	66	31	46	14	0	7	1.076
AF4013-3 ^{yf}	385	299	78	<i>L</i> 9	53	25	0	0	~	1.066
AF4363-5	374	317	85	71	40	41	5	0	9	1.069
AF3011-34	331	221	<i>L</i> 9	49	4	21	7	0	16	1.066
Sifra	641	392	61	88	42	19	0	0	25	1.074
Harley Blackwell	418	319	9/	71	48	79	3	0	4	1.065
Elkton	551	474	98	106	35	42	6	0	4	1.079
BNC182-5	409	362	68	81	30	42	17	0	3	1.077
BNC202-3	629	459	70	103	27	32	10	0	26	1.079
BNC244-10	254	130	51	29	41	10	0	0	10	1.080
B2727-2	695	434	9/	76	46	30	0	0	12	1.071
B2589-3	418	319	9/	71	99	21	0	0	4	1.064
NY140	200	589	84	132	29	37	14	4	6	1.080
NY141	528	455	98	102	37	4	S	0	7	1.064
NY148	691	631	91	141	31	52	7	7	7	1.085
NY150	402	212	53	47	45	8	0	0	9	1.070
NY151	726	909	83	136	24	46	13	0	10	1.056
H90-4	346	187	54	42	20	4	0	0	0	1.075
Rochdale Gold-Doree yf	395	164	42	37	28	14	0	0	47	1.065
Lehigh $^{ m yf}$	440	367	84	82	26	40	18	0	6	1.068
ACTO0293-1W/Y ^{yf}	995	271	48	61	39	~	0	0	22	1.061
Modoc	480	275	57	62	40	16	1	0	20	1.058

V. 1	Yield ($Yield (cwt/A)^1$	%	%	%	% by size class ³	,S ³		4000	Specific
vanety/ Line	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	of Standard ²	2	3	4	5	%PO.	Gravity
Red Sunset	414	341	82	92	46	36	0	0	7	1.055
NDA7985-1R	593	441	74	66	40	32	ϵ	0	13	1.054
Russet Norkotah	370	230	62	52	31	56	5	0	31	1.061
AF3001-6	491	249	51	56	14	21	16	0	38	1.068
Dakota Trailblazer	454	364	80	81	76	40	13	0	13	1.075
Premier Russet	264	152	58	34	40	18	0	0	22	1.078
CO99256-2R	422	232	55	52	43	12	0	0	14	1.055
Markies yf	589	306	52	89	4	∞	0	0	23	1.071
Lady Lenora	224	92	34	17	34	0	0	0	39	1.064
Sylvana ^{yf}	201	149	74	33	34	40	0	0	17	1.046
Opera ^{yf}	455	142	31	32	28	3	0	0	40	1.072

²Percentage of the standard, Atlantic, for >1 7/8" yield.

Percentage of total yield according to size class. 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5=>4.0 in.

⁴Percentage of total that are pickouts.

Non-replicated trial.

Varieties with colored flesh are indicated by yffor yellow.

Russets were planted 10-in. apart with 24 seed pieces per 20-ft plot, all other varieties were spaced 8-in. apart with 30 seed pieces per 20-ft plot.

Table 2. Tuber characteristics, internal and external defects for potato evaluation trial in Lehigh County, Forrest Wessner Farm, 2012

Variety/I in a		Tuk	Tuber Characteristics	acteristi	$2s^{1}$		Internal Defects ²	efects ²			Ext	External Defects ³	efects ³			
dance y Lance	TA	C	TX	Sh	TED	LCS	HH	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Ğ	K	Ð	Sc	$^{\mathrm{dS}}$	Τ
Atlantic	5	9	5	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Snowden	S	9	2	7	S	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reba	S	9	9	\mathcal{C}	S	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
Katahdin	α	9	9	\mathfrak{C}	9	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Superior	5	9	9	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yukon Gold	S	9	9	7	9	2	_	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Chieftain	\mathcal{C}	κ	7	κ	4	S	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
AF0338-17	\mathcal{C}	9	9	7	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4013-3	5	9	9	7	7	4	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
AF4363-5	\mathfrak{S}	9	7	\mathfrak{S}	9	4	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF3011-34	S	S	κ	4	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
Sifra	4	9	9	7	9	2	0	0		0	0	0	_	0	1	3
Harley Blackwell	9	S	2	7	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
Elkton	9	9	2	\mathcal{C}	9	5	_	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
BNC182-5	4	S	2	7	4	5	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BNC202-3	4	9	2	3	9	S	3	0	0	0	0	7		0	0	0
BNC244-10	4	$\overline{}$	7	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
B2727-2	2	9	2	7	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
B2589-3	9	S	5	7	9	5	П	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NY140	S	9	9	\mathcal{C}	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
NY141	9	9	9	\mathcal{E}	9	5	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
NY148	5	9	2	7	S	9	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
NY150	S	7	9	7	7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NY151	9	_	9	\mathcal{C}	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
H90-4	4	7	7	7	9	9	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rochdale Gold-Doree	4	7	9	7	9	2	0	0	$\overline{}$	0	0	0		0	0	4
Lehigh	4	9	9	κ	9	\$	0	0		0	1	0	1	0	0	0
ACTO0293-1W/Y	4	9	7	\mathcal{C}	9	2	4		0	0		0	-	0	7	1
Modoc	4	2	7	2	9	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	_

out I/mono/I		Tul	Tuber Charact	acterist	ics ¹		Internal	Defects ²			E	xternal D	efects ³			
valiety/ Lille	TA	С	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	HIH	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Ğr	K	Ð	Sc	dS	Τ
Red Sunset	4	3	7	3	9	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
NDA7985-1R	4	7	7	κ	9	S		0	7	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Russet Norkotah	4	S	κ	4	7	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
AF3001-6	4	9	9	S	9	4	5	0	П	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Dakota Trailblazer	4	\$	2	4	9	4	8	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Premier Russet	4	\$	κ	4	7	5	\mathcal{C}	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CO99256-2R	4	7	7	κ	9	5	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Markies	κ	9	9	κ	9	2	0	0	_	0	0	0	1	0	0	_
Lady Lenora	α	9	9	7	7	5	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	7	1
Sylvana	4	_	7	κ	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Opera	4	_	7	7	7	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	\mathcal{E}

Tuber Characteristics: TA = tuber appearance: 1 = very poor, 5 = fair, 9 = excellent.

C = skin color: 1 = purple, 2 = red, 3 = pink, 4 = dark brown, 5 = brown, 6 = tan, 7 = buff, 8 = white, 9 = cream

TX = skin texture: 1 = partial russet, 2 = heavy russet, 3 = mod. russet, 4 = light russet, 5 = netted, 6 = slight net, 7 = mod. smooth, 8 = smooth, 9 = very smooth.Sh = tuber shape: 1 = round, 2 = mostly round, 3 = round-oblong 4 = mostly oblong, 5 = oblong 6 = oblong-long, 7 = mostly long, 8 = long, 9 = cylindrical.

TED = tuber eye depth: 1 = very deep, 5 = medium, 9 = very shallow. TCS = tuber cross section: 1 = very flat, 5 = intermediate, 9 = very round.

 2 Internal Defects: HH = hollow heart, IB = internal browning. Total number observed out of 8 tubers. 0 = not observed.

³ External Defects: R = Rhizoctonia, H = hairline cracks, Gr = growth cracks, K = knobs, G = sunburn, Sc = scab, Sp = sprouts, T = secondary tubers. Scale = 0-4, with 0 = not observed, 1 = slight to 4 = very severe.

Table 3. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, percent of standard, size distribution, percent pickouts and specific gravity for potato evaluation trial in Erie County, Mark Troyer Farm, 2012

Atlantic Snowden Reba Katahdin Yukon Gold ^{yf} Chieftain Modoc	Total 264	>1 7/8"	1 S 1	Ctondord ²	•	,	•	.04% <u>-</u>		Gravity
Atlantic Snowden Reba Katahdin Yukon Gold ^{yf} Chieftain Modoc	264		1	Standard	7	3	4	O		,
Snowden Reba Katahdin Yukon Gold ^{yf} Chieftain Modoc	-	237	06	100	26	52	11	0	9	1.080
Reba Katahdin Yukon Gold ^{yf} Chieftain Modoc	249	217	87	92	43	40	4	0	4	1.070
Katahdin Yukon Gold ^{yf} Chieftain Modoc	170	124	73	53	48	25	0	0		1.066
Yukon Gold ^{yf} Chieftain Modoc	196	139	71	59	38	76	9	0	22	1.062
Chieftain Modoc	131	89	52	29	28	24	0	0 4	40	1.066
Modoc	225	187	83	62	59	22	7	0	7	1.063
	68	53	09	22	99	3	0	0	4	1.062
Red Sunset	52	12	24	5	18	9	0	0	91	1.056
A99331-2RY yf	363	218	09	92	39	21	0	0	22	1.071
H90-4	<i>L</i> 9	20	30	~	30	0	0	0	12	1.061
CO97222-1R/R pk	221	146	99	61	53	13	0	0	[5	1.065
HZC 01-6087 yf	229	174	9/	74	33	34	6	0	1	1.072
Lady Lenora	246	58	23	24	21	\mathcal{C}	0	0 5	55	1.074
Yukon Gem ^{yf}	357	287	80	121	76	43	11	0	[3	1.065
Sifra	345	252	73	106	31	32	11	0	8	1.065
CO99053-4RU	156	54	34	23	25	9	B	0 4	13	1.066
NY140	460	359	78	152	36	35	7	0	[5	1.078
NY141	248	201	81	85	33	4	4	0	[3	1.074
NY150	145	20	14	∞	14	0	0	0	61	1.072
NY151	325	244	75	103	25	46	2	0	1	1.059
AF4013-3 ^{yf}	212	186	88	79	28	30	0	0	9	1.073
BNC182-5	350	294	8	124	33	41	10	0	01	1.077
AF4363-5	225	206	92	87	41	39	13	0	5	1.074
CO99045-1W/Y ^{yf}	468	286	61	121	33	20	∞	0 2	56	1.076
Snowbird	271	161	59	89	76	28	5	0 3	32	1.071
Opera ^{yf}	390	284	73	120	47	23	3	0	[5	1.083
B2589-3	321	222	69	94	20	19	0	0	3	1.073
B2727-2	272	243	68	103	38	43	∞	0	5	1.087
B2731-11	254	218	98	92	37	41	∞	0	6	1.077
NY148	482	420	87	178	18	45	24	0	01	1.083
AF4157-6	292	247	85	104	51	34	0	0	8	1.077

	-	•

Total >17/8" U 323 270 183 137 388 244 373 246 172 111 446 301 223 124 375 180 241 120	Voriotatilias	Yield (cwt/A	$cont(A)^1$	%	%	%	% by size class ³	.s ³		4. B.O.4	Specific
orkotah 183 270 railblazer 388 244 6 373 246 Russet 172 111 446 301 5.3RU ^{yf} 223 124 er 375 180 15 241 179	vanety/Luie	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	of Standard ²	2	3	4	5	%FO.	Gravity
railblazer 388 244 5 373 246 Russet 172 111 446 301 446 301 43RU ^{yf} 223 124 er 375 180 15 241 179	338-17	323	270	83	114	13	44	79	0	14	1.076
Failblazer 388 244 5 373 246 Russet 172 111 5-3RU ^{yf} 223 124 sr 375 180 15 241 120 Accumulator) 311 179	et Norkotah	183	137	75	58	28	30	17	0	13	1.067
6 373 246 Russet 172 111 446 301 5.3RU ^{yf} 223 124 er 375 180 15 241 120 Accumulator) 311 179	ota Trailblazer	388	244	63	103	21	25	16	0	33	1.097
Russet 172 111 446 301 5-3RU ^{yf} 223 124 er 375 180 15 241 120 Accumulator) 311 179	001-6	373	246	99	104	19	31	16	0	28	1.078
446 301 5-3RU ^{yf} 223 124 er 375 180 15 241 120 Accumulator) 311 179	nier Russet	172	1111	49	47	48	17	0	0	18	1.077
RU ^{yf} 223 124 375 180 241 120 cumulator) 311 179	kies	446	301	89	127	30	31	7	0	26	1.076
375 180 241 120 cumulator) 311 179	9053-3RU ^{yf}	223	124	99	53	27	18	11	0	34	1.074
241 120 cumulator) 311 179	lenger	375	180	48	92	37	11	0	0	29	1.080
311 179	317-15	241	120	20	51	18	13	19	0	46	1.083
//1	W2324-1 (Accumulator)	311	179	58	92	11	25	22	0	40	1.079
Silverton Russet 293 217 74	rton Russet	293	217	74	92	28	33	14	0	18	1.065

Percentage of the standard, Atlantic, for >1 7/8" yield.

³Percentage of total yield according to size class. 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5=>4.0 in.

⁴Percentage of total that are pickouts.

Non-replicated trial.

Varieties with colored flesh are indicated by yf for yellow, and pk for pink.

Russets except Silverton Russet were planted 10-in. apart with 24 seed pieces per 20-ft plot, Silverton Russetand all other varieties were spaced 8-

in. apart with 30 seed pieces per 20-ft plot.

Table 4. Tuber characteristics, internal and external defects for potato evaluation trial in Erie County, Mark Troyer Farm, 2012

		F	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4	40000	1		Internal Dafacta 2	Jofooto 2			, ,) J	External Defects 3			
Variety/Line			ĮΪ	Ĭ	S		memalr	relects				emalu	elects			
	TA	C	ΤX	Sh	TED	$_{\rm LCS}$	HH	$^{ m IB}$	Rhizoc	Н	Ğ	K	G	Sc	Sp	Т
Atlantic	5	9	2	7	2	S		1	1	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
Snowden	4	9	5	2	4	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	-	1	0	0
Reba	4	7	7	3	5	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Katahdin	5	7	7	3	5	S	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	1	0	0
Yukon Gold	5	7	∞	2	9	S	0	0	2	0	2	0	_	0	0	0
Chieftain	4	7	7	3	5	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Modoc	9	7	8	7	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red Sunset	9	7	%	7	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A99331-2RY	4	7	7	7	5	S	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
H90-4	9	7	7	7	5	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO97222-1R/R	3	7	7	3	9	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
HZC 01-6087	5	2	8	2	9	4	0	0	1	0	-	0	-	0	0	0
Lady Lenora	3	7	7	3	9	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	1
Yukon Gem	S	9	7	3	9	2	0	0	7	0	_	0	_	0	0	0
Sifra	4	7	7	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	_	0	_	0	0	0
CO99053-4RU	4	9	4	4	7	S	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
NY140	4	9	7	3	9	S	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
NY141	5	7	7	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
NY150	4	∞	∞	2	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
NY151	9	7	7	7	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
AF4013-3	S	7	∞	7	9	S	0	0	7	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
BNC182-5	4	9	2	2	4	S	0	0	1	0	0	1	_	0	0	0
AF4363-5	S	∞	7	2	S	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
CO99045-1W/Y	S	9	9	4	S	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
Snowbird	4	∞	7	3	4	5	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	1
Opera	5	7	∞	3	9	5	0	0		0	_	0	7	0	0	0
B2589-3	9	S	5	7	5	9	П	7	7	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
B2727-2	5	7	9	3	9	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
B2731-11	9	9	2	7	2	9	3	1	1	0	_	0	_	0	0	0
NY148	S	9	9	3	4	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
AF4157-6	5	7	9	2	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0

		T	Tuber Chara	racterist	ics ¹		Internal L)efects ²			Ext	temal D	efects ³			
vanety/ Line	TA	C	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	HH	IB	Rhizoc	Η	Ğ	K	Ð	Sc	Sp	Г
AF0338-17	5	7	9	2	5	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Russet Norkotah	4	5	3	4	S	5	_	0	7	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
Dakota Trailblazer	5	5	4	4	7	5	2	0	1	0	-	1	7	0	0	0
AF3001-6	4	7	7	4	7	5		0	0	0	_	0	7	0	0	0
Premier Russet	3	5	2	ϵ	9	5	\vdash	0	1	0	_	1	_	0	0	0
Markies	4	7	7	ϵ	9	5	0	0	0	0	_	1	7	_	0	0
CO99053-3RU	5	9	4	4	9	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Challenger	4	7	9	ϵ	7	4	0	0	1	0	-	0	7	0	0	0
AF3317-15	4	9	9	4	9	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4	0	0	0
W2324-1	α	9	9	2	4	5	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0
Silverton Russet	5	9	4	4	9	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹Tuber Characteristics: TA = tuber appearance: 1 = very poor, 5 = fair, 9 = excellent.

C = skin color: 1 = purple, 2 = red, 3 = pink, 4 = dark brown, 5 = brown, 6 = tan, 7 = buff, 8 = white, 9 = cream

TX = skin texture: 1 = partial russet, 2 = heavy russet, 3 = mod. russet, 4 = light russet, 5 = netted, 6 = slight net, 7 = mod. smooth, 8 = smooth, 9 = very smoothSh = tuber shape: 1 = round, 2 = mostly round, 3 = round-oblong 4 = mostly oblong 5 = oblong 6 = oblong-long 7 = mostly long 8 = long 9 = cylindrical.

TED = tuber eye depth: 1 = very deep, 5 = medium, 9 = very shallow. TCS = tuber cross section: 1 = very flat, 5 = intermediate, 9 = very round.

 $^2 In ternal\ Defects: HH = hollow\ heart,\ IB = in ternal\ browning.\ Total\ number\ observed\ out\ of\ 4\ tubers.\ 0 = not\ observed.$

³External Defects: R = Rhizoctonia, H = hairline cracks, Gr = growth cracks, K = knobs, G = sunburn, Sc = scab, Sp = sprouts, T = secondary tubers. Scale = 0-4, with 0 = not observed, 1 = slight to 4 = very severe.

10

Table 5. Total yield, greater than 17/8" yield, percent of standard, size distribution, percent pickouts, and specific gravity for round white potato evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012

Variety/Line Yield (c) Atlantic 425 Dakota Crisp 562 JOMA 540 Katahdin 374 Kennebec 451 Rochdale Gold-Doree yf 335 Snowden 469 Superior 395 Yukon Gem yf 574 AF0338-17 412 AF4013-3 yf 443 AF4157-6 440 BZ777-2 344 BZ731-11 407 BX1102-5 515	1 (cwt/A) ¹ 380 380 504 301 329 176 310	% US#1	% of Standard ²		% by size class ³		٧	% D O⁴	Specific
c Crisp lin sec ale Gold-Doree ^{yf} en or Gold ^{yf} Gold ^{yf} 5-1 7-6	>17/8" 380 504 301 329 176 310	US#1	Standard ²		·		V	0/, D ∩ ⁴	Gravity
c Crisp lin lin sec ale Gold-Doree yf en or Gem yf Gold yf 5-1 7-6 11	380 504 301 329 176 310			1	3	4	,	70FC	CIAV 1LY
Cris p lin oec ale Gold-Doree ^{yf} en or Gem ^{yf} Gold ^{yf} 3-17 3-17 5-1 7-6	504 301 329 176 310	8	100	20	4	23	2	7	1.084
in oec ale Gold-Doree yf en or Gem yf Gold yf 5-1 7-6 2	301 329 176 310	06	133	13	48	26	3	9	1.076
Gold-Doree yf m yf ld yf 7 yf	329 176 310	57	62	10	28	19	0	42	1.076
Gold-Doree ^{yf} m ^{yf} ld ^{yf} 7	176 310	88	87	20	49	19	0	8	1.072
Gold-Doree ^{yf} m ^{yf} ld ^{yf} 7	310	40	46	11	13	16	0	99	1.073
m ^{yf} Id ^{yf} 7 yf		92	82	18	20	23	0	3	1.078
m yf Id yf 7 yf	429	92	113	26	51	14	0	9	1.085
m ^{yf} Id yf 7 yf	340	98	68	22	51	11	2	11	1.070
1d yf 7 yf	529	92	139	21	53	19	0	5	1.073
yf.	316	8	83	15	36	31	2	13	1.076
y f	359	87	94	10	32	33	13	10	1.079
	391	88	103	30	50	8	0	2	1.084
	276	80	73	23	42	12	2	16	1.075
1	374	85	86	25	22	5	0	11	1.080
·	311	91	82	27	55	∞	0	7	1.093
	386	95	101	10	4	41	0	4	1.084
	460	68	121	17	46	26	0	7	1.086
NY150 405	250	61	99	54	7	0	0	_	1.083
NYE106-4 499	457	91	120	23	43	24	2	4	1.088
AF3011-34 343	228	29	09	19	59	18	0	29	1.079
AF4130-7 447	390	87	103	14	4	56	7	12	1.096
AF4363-5 382	344	06	06	18	41	32	0	4	1.083
AF4376-3 450	417	93	110	13	99	24	0	5	1.075
AF4430-2 564	527	93	138	19	52	22	0	3	1.063
AF4437-5 337	237	71	62	14	38	18	0	27	1.077
AF4454-3 294	156	52	41	17	28	7	0	44	1.055
AF4463-7 374	333	68	88	23	49	17	0	7	1.071
Markies yf 460	327	71	98	38	25	∞	0	22	1.080
Elkton 353	328	93	98	24	49	18	2	3	1.082

Variety/I in a	Yield (Yield (cwt/A) ¹	%	Jo %	0	% by size class ³	ass ³			Specific
valicty/ Line	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	$\%PO^4$	Gravity
BNC202-3 yf	451	335	74	88	17	42	15	0	23	1.089
B2728-2	374	341	91	06	33	46	11	0	S	1.083
B2737-2	452	381	2	100	38	41	9	0	S	1.081
B2738-3	404	384	95	101	14	53	28	0	3	1.069
B2589-3	444	375	\$	66	37	41	4	2	5	1.076
Reba	361	324	8	85	25	55	6	0	5	1.072
NY140	449	388	98	102	16	37	33	0	10	1.076
NY141	424	390	92	103	14	41	35	7	3	1.077
NY151 (G73-1)	200	388	77	102	25	38	14	0	17	1.064
$ATC00293-1W/Y^{yf}$	616	408	99	107	25	32	6	0	28	1.067
CO99045-1W/Y ^{yf}	497	348	70	91	33	29	~	0	17	1.085
CO00412-5W/Y ^{yf}	389	305	62	80	40	30	6	0	6	1.086
MSL211-3	348	297	85	78	29	48	6	0	~	1.072
Spartan Splash ^{yf}	462	412	68	108	30	49	10	0	9	1.078
$A00293-2Y^{yf}$	363	305	\$	80	36	42	9	0	9	1.079
Lady Lenora	378	213	99	56	45	11	_	0	25	1.091
Sifra	573	425	77	112	26	37	11	0	19	1.078
Sylvana ^{yf}	350	302	98	79	24	43	19	0	11	1.062
Opera ^{yf}	455	322	71	85	47	21	2	0	17	1.085
Snowbird	357	286	80	75	34	40	9	0	11	1.072
Challenger yf	409	291	71	92	42	24	S	0	13	1.084
W2324-1	496	425	98	112	19	47	18	1	10	1.086
AF4138-8*	334	306	35	81	24	53	14	0	2	1.071
AF4421-4*	356	303	82	80	40	42	2	0	7	1.080
AF4449-2*	442	368	83	26	34	38	12	0	10	1.076
AF4463-8*	351	331	24	87	21	40	33	0	-	1.066
AF4552-5*	293	239	82	63	32	40	10	0	9	1.076
AF4573-2*	374	327	87	98	41	43	3	0	9	1.095
AF4640-1*	437	416	95	109	22	50	23	0	0	1.082
AF4725-14*	298	271	91	71	19	53	18	0	7	1.081
AF4840-1*	421	310	74	82	10	4	20	0	24	1.080
AF4852-2*	467	337	72	68	19	38	15	0	23	1.066

Voriotx,/I in a	Yield (0	cwt/A) ¹	%	% of	o	% by size class ³	ass ³			Specific
valiety/ Lille	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	$\rm \%PO^4$	Gravity
AF4852-4*	456	404	68	106	21	49	18	0	7	1.072
AF4917-3*	313	293	93	77	32	43	18	0	2	1.079
AF4965-2*	373	336	06	88	14	46	30	0	7	1.075
AF4975-3*	406	372	92	86	15	37	39	0	5	1.086
B2833-16*	434	417	96	110	11	37	39	10	2	1.090
MSJ126-9Y* yf	343	328	96	98	30	58	8	0	1	1.081
LSD	99	71	6		6	10	10	3	8	

²Percentage of the standard, Atlantic, for >1 7/8" yield.

³Percentage of total yield according to size class. 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5

³Percentage of total yield according to size class. 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5=>4.0 in. ⁴Percentage of total that are pickouts.

Planted 8-in. apart with 15 seed pieces per 10-ft plot. Yellow flesh varieties are indicated with ^{yf}.

Replicated trials are the average of 3 replicates except for those lines with * which were non-replicated. LSD indicates least significant difference (P = 0.05), calculated for replicated varieties.

Atlantic Dakota Crisp		In	ber Char	Tuber Characteristics 1	2S I		Internal I	Internal Defects ²				External	External Defects ³	3		
Atlantic Dakota Crisp	TA	C	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	НН	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Ţ	Х	Ð	Sc	Sp	T
Dakota Crisp	5	9	9	2	4	5	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
•	2	9	7	2	ϵ	2	7	0	7	0	1	0	-	0	0	0
JOMA	3	7	7	3	5	\$	1	0	1	0	7	2	2	_	0	0
Katahdin	4	7	7	\mathcal{E}	5	2	7	0	1	0	0	0	\vdash	0	0	0
Kennebec	3	7	7	4	5	2	3	0	1	0	7	2	2	0	0	0
Rochdale Gold-Doree	5	7	7	7	2	5	7	0	П	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
Snowden	5	9	S	7	4	5	3	0	7	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Superior	4	7	7	3	4	5	_	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Yukon Gem	2	9	7	3	5	2	7	0	1	0	1	0	_	0	0	0
Yukon Gold	4	9	∞	7	4	5	4	0	7	0	-	0	_	0	0	0
AF0338-17	4	7	9	3	5	2	7	0	7	0	1	0	_	0	0	0
AF4013-3	2	7	∞	2	9	5	-	0	3	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
AF4125-1	2	~	7	2	4	9	7	0	1	7	1	0	-	0	0	0
AF4157-6	2	7	7	2	4	\$	7	0	1	0	1	0	-	0	0	0
B2727-2	2	9	9	7	9	2	7	0	1	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
B2731-11	2	9	5	7	4	9	4	_		0	_	0	-	0	0	0
BNC182-5	4	9	5	2	5	2	7	0	7	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
NY150	9	∞	∞	2	9	\$	0	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
NYE106-4	4	9	9	2	4	9	7	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
AF3011-34	4	9	9	4	9	4	7	0	1	0	1	0	-	0	0	0
AF4130-7	2	9	9	3	5	2	7	0	1	0	0	-	-	0	0	0
AF4363-5	2	7	7	3	9	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
AF4376-3	2	7	7	3	2	4	7	0	7	0	0	0	П	0	0	0
AF4430-2	4	7	7	7	5	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
AF4437-5	4	7	7	2	2	S	1	0	1	7	_	0	0	0	0	0
AF4454-3	7	9	9	2	4	5	_	-	3	0	7	0	_	0	0	0
AF4463-7	2	~	7	2	9	2	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Markies	4	9	∞	3	5	2	1	0	7	0	0	П	П	0	0	0
Elkton	3	5	5	2	7	5	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variety// in a		T	Tuber Characteristics ¹	racterist	ics 1		Internal	Internal Defects ²				External	External Defects ³	3		
vanety/ Land	TA	C	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	НН	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Gr	K	G	Sc	$^{\mathrm{Sp}}$	Τ
BNC202-3	3	9	5	7	3	5	3	0	_	0	0	П	1	0	0	0
B2728-2	5	7	7	7	9	\$	П	0		_	0	0	0	0	0	0
B2737-2	9	7	7	7	S	9	1	0	_	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
B2738-3	\$	9	9	7	4	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B2589-3	4	9	S	3	9	5	2	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
Reba	4	7	7	3	5	5	1	0	_	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
NY140	5	7	7	3	9	5	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
NY141	S	7	8	3	5	5	1	0	_	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
NY151 (G73-1)	5	7	7	7	5	S	0	0	_	0	-	0	2	0	0	0
ATC00293-1W/Y	4	9	7	3	4	S	4	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	0	0
CO99045-1W/Y	4	9	9	4	9	4	3	7	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
CO00412-5W/Y	S	9	9	3	9	S	-	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
MSL211-3	5	∞	8	7	5	5	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Spartan Splash	9	ċ	7	3	2	5	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0
A00293-2Y	5	9	7	3	9	S	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lady Lenora	4	9	9	3	9	S	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sifra	4	_	7	3	9	S	0	0	-	0	-	0	1	0	0	0
Sylvana	S	_	7	8	9	2	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
Opera	5	_	7	33	9	9	0	0	-	0	-	0	1	0	0	0
Snowbird	4	∞	∞	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Challenger	S	9	9	3	7	2	7	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
W2324-1	3	9	9	7	2	2	7	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
AF4138-8*	5	_	7	7	9	S	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
AF4421-4*	5	_	9	7	5	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
AF4449-2*	4	_	7	7	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	0
AF4463-8*	4	_	9	7	3	S	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4552-5*	S	_	9	7	4	5	0	0	2	0	-	0	0	0	0	0
AF4573-2*	5	9	7	7	9	5	0	0	7	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
AF4640-1*	2	7	7	7	4	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4725-14*	9	∞	∞	7	5	5	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
AF4840-1*	5	9	9	7	5	5	_	0	_	_	7	0	0	0	0	0
AF4852-2*	5	7	7	2	5	5	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

•		

7. 1/ F		$ m T_t$	ıber Cha	Tuber Characteristics	ics ¹		Internal l	Defects ²	4			External	Defects	3		
variety/Line	TA	C	TA C TX Sh	Sh	TED	TCS	HH	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Ġ	X	G	Sc	Sp	T
AF4852-4*	4	7	7	2	9	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4917-3*	5	8	7	7	5	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4965-2*	5	8	9	7	4	5	0	0		0	0	0	_	0	0	0
AF4975-3*	5	7	9	7	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
B2833-16*	5	9	9	3	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
MSJ126-9Y*	S	9	9	2	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹Tuber Characteristics: TA = tuber appearance: 1 = very poor, 5 = fair, 9 = excellent.

C = skin color: 1 = purple, 2 = red, 3 = pink, 4 = dark brown, 5 = brown, 6 = tan, 7 = buff, 8 = white, 9 = cream

TX = skin texture: 1 = partial russet, 2 = heavy russet, 3 = mod. russet, 4 = light russet, 5 = netted, 6 = slight net, 7 = mod. smooth, 8 = smooth, 9 = very smooth.

Sh = tuber shape: 1 = round, 2 = mostly round, 3 = round-oblong 4 = mostly oblong 5 = oblong 6 = oblong-long 7 = mostly long, 8 = long, 9 = cylindrical.

TED = tuber eye depth: 1 = very deep, 5 = medium, 9 = very shallow. TCS = tuber cross section: 1 = very flat, 5 = intermediate, 9 = very round.

²Internal Defects: HH = hollow heart, IB = internal browning. Total number observed out of 12 tubers for replicated trials and total number out of 4 for non replicated trials (marked with *). 0 = not observed. 3 External Defects: R = Rhizoctonia, H = hairline cracks, Gr = growth cracks, K = knobs, G = sunburn, Sc = scab, Sp = sprouts, T = secondary tubers. Scale = 0-4, with 0 = not observed, 1 = slight to 4 = very severe.

Table 7. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, percent of standard, size distribution, percent pickouts, and specific gravity for red or purple skinned potato evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012

	7 51511	Tield (cwc/r)	0/		/	% by size class?	433	,	4/04/0	Specific
vallety/ Lille	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	8	.04%	Gravity
Chieftain	427	396	93	100	28	53	11	0	4	1.071
Dark Red Norland	306	270	88	89	21	54	14	0	8	1.061
Modoc	334	288	98	73	53	33	0	0		1.067
Red Sunset	304	282	92	71	37	99	0	0	-	1.062
B2863-7	294	224	9/	56	24	38	13	0	16	1.075
B1816-5 yf	366	332	91	84	36	52	2	0	5	1.073
BCO01044-2 pur	444	400	96	101	53	46	15	0	5	1.071
B2538-5	448	359	80	91	21	41	18	0	17	1.070
B2676-2	348	305	88	77	43	40	5	0	2	1.081
AD Red pk	440	356	81	06	47	31	3	0	∞	1.068
AD Blue pur	444	392	68	66	40	38	10	0	2	1.072
H73-1	387	345	68	87	31	47	12	0	3	1.065
H90-4	330	226	89	57	51	16	0	0	3	1.069
CO97222-1R/R pk	410	301	74	92	53	21	0	0	7	1.068
CO00405-1RF	307	115	37	29	34	\mathcal{S}	0	0	10	1.072
CO99256-2R	433	362	83	91	30	45	11	0	10	1.071
CO00415-1RF	393	138	35	35	30	S	0	0	12	1.066
MSS576-05SPL	529	909	8	128	20	43	24	3	5	1.076
MI Purple Sport I	542	466	98	117	17	45	21	7	12	1.075
NDA7985-1R	389	358	92	06	21	55	16	0	5	1.063
A99331-2RY yf	514	404	78	102	43	33	2	0	7	1.074
NDA050237B-1R	557	458	8	115	18	38	23	2	13	1.064
HZC 01-6087 yf	361	309	98	78	23	20	12	0	10	1.085
AF4815-1*	513	481	8	121	30	20	13	0	3	1.063
AF4831-2*	442	396	83	92	40	39	4	0	3	1.065
AF4831-3*	362	307	82	78	36	36	13	0	6	1.060
AF4845-3*	409	377	92	95	27	57	∞	0	3	1.064
AF4985-1*	409	345	82	87	19	49	17	0	∞	1.068
RNC244-10* pur	361	268	74	29	55	19	0	0	9	1 090

Variety/Line	Yield (Yield $(cwt/A)^1$	%	% of	%	% by size class ³	ass ³		0/ pQ ⁴	Specific
valiety/ Emic	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	%PO	Gravity
Colonial Purple*	217	201	93	51	76	39	27	0	0	1.079
MSQ437-2PP* pur	280	226	81	57	31	33	16	0	12	1.072
Blackberry* pur	412	354	98	68	40	34	12	0	7	1.059
MSR226-ARR* pk	230	153	99	39	43	24	0	0	16	1.080
MSR214-2P* pur	379	304	80	77	19	4	17	0	14	1.061
Purple Heart*	316	293	93	74	40	48	5	0	0	1.062
QS.1	66	96	14		14	15	6	1	13	

²Percentage of the standard, Chieftain, for >1 7/8" yield.

Percentage of total yield according to size class. 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5=>4.0 in.

⁴Percentage of total that are pickouts.

Replicated trials are the average of 3 replicates except for those lines with * which were non-replicated.

LSD indicates least significant difference (P = 0.05), calculated for replicated varieties.

Varieties with colored flesh are indicated by yf for yellow, pur for purple, and pk for pink.

Plots consisted of 10-ft rows with 15 seed pieces spaced 8-in. apart.

Table 8. Tuber characteristics, internal and external defects for red skinned potato evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012

Vanicity Line TA C TA			[7		1			6			ţ		0	,,		
TAY C. TX Sh TED TCS HH IB Ritinoc H Gr K G Sc Sp Should be a company of the	Varietv/Line		In I	oer Char	acteristi	cs		Internal L	etects ~			Î	ternal.	Detects			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		TA	C	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	HH	IB		Н	Ğ	K	G	Sc	$^{\mathrm{c}}$	Τ
Norkand S 2 7 3 5 5 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	Chieftain	S	2	7	3	9	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
tet 6 2 8 2 6 6 6 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Dark Red Norland	5	7	7	3	5	5	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	0
tet 5 2 8 3 6 5 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Modoc	9	7	∞	2	9	9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44-2 5 1 7 3 5 5 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Red Sunset	5	7	~	3	9	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44-2 5 11 7 3 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	B2863-7	S	7	∞	3	S	5	0	0	1	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
44-2 5 1 1 7 3 6 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	B1816-5	S	_	7	3	S	S	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 1 8 4 4 5 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	BCO01044-2	S	1	7	3	9	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 2 7 7 2 5 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	B2538-5	S	_	∞	4	4	S	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Hand Land Land Land Land Land Land Land L	B2676-2	S	7	7	2	S	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
R 5 1 7 2 7 2 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	AD Red	4	7	7	3	7	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
R	AD Blue	ю	1	7	3	S	4	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0
R	H73-1	4	7	7	2	S	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
R. 5 2 7 3 5 5 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	H90-4	4	7	9	2	4	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F. 5 2 7 4 6 5 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CO97222-1R/R	δ	7	7	3	S	S	0	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	0	0
F. 5 2 8 8 3 6 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CO00405-1RF	S	7	7	4	9	5	П	0	2	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
F. 5 2 8 4 6 5 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CO99256-2R	S	7	8	3	9	S	1	0	2	0	-	0	0	0	0	0
PL 5 4 5 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	CO00415-1RF	5	7	∞	4	9	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ort I 5 *** 7 3 5 4 2 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 4 2 7 2 5 5 6 0 </td <td>MSS576-05SPL</td> <td>5</td> <td>* *</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	MSS576-05SPL	5	* *	7	2	4	5	0	0	0	0	_	0	-	0	0	0
3-1R 5 2 7 2 5 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	MI Purple Sport I	S	* * *	7	3	5	4	2	0	_	0	0		_	0	0	0
3-1R 5 2 8 2 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NDA7985-1R	S	7	7	7	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-1R 5 2 8 2 5 6 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0	A99331-2RY	4	7	7	7	5	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
5 2 8 3 6 5 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	NDA050237B-1R	σ	7	∞	7	S	9	0	0	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
5 2 8 3 6 5 0	HZC 01-6087	S	7	∞	3	9	5	0	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	0	0
4 2 8 3 6 5 0	AF4815-1*	δ	7	∞	3	9	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 2 8 2 5 5 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	AF4831-2*	4	7	∞	3	9	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6 2 8 2 5 6 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	AF4831-3*	δ	7	∞	7	5	5	2	0	-	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
5 2 8 2 4 5 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 5 1 7 2 5 5 0 <td>AF4845-3*</td> <td>9</td> <td>7</td> <td>~</td> <td>2</td> <td>5</td> <td>9</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	AF4845-3*	9	7	~	2	5	9	0	0	_	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
5 1 7 2 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	AF4985-1*	5	7	~	7	4	5	0	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	0	0
	BNC244-10*	5	1	7	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Cai I/vatoimo/V		Tul	Tuber Chara	acteristi	cs^1		Internal I	Defects ²			Ex	External I	Defects ²			
valiety/ Lille	TA	C TX	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	HH	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Ğr	K	G	Sc	Sp	Τ
Colonial Purple*	5	1	8	3	4	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MSQ437-2PP*	4	1	7	3	S	5	0	0	П	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackberry*	4	1	%	3	5	5	0	0	П	0	0	0	0	_	0	0
MSR226-ARR*	5	7	%	3	9	5	0	0	П	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
MSR214-2P*	5	1	7	7	5	5	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
Purple Heart*	5	7	8	2	S	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹Tuber Characteristics: TA = tuber appearance: 1 = very poor, 5 = fair, 9 = excellent.

C = skin color: 1 = purple, 2 = red, 3 = pink, 4 = dark brown, 5 = brown, 6 = tan, 7 = buff, 8 = white, 9 = cream, ** = white skin with red splash aroundthe eyes, *** = white skin with purple streaks on the tuber.

TX = skin texture: 1 = partial russet, 2 = heavy russet, 3 = mod. russet, 4 = light russet, 5 = netted, 6 = slight net, 7 = mod. smooth, 8 = smooth, 9 = very smooth.Sh = tuber shape: 1 = round, 2 = mostly round, 3 = round-oblong, 4 = mostly oblong, 5 = oblong, 6 = oblong-long, 7 = mostly long, 8 = long, 9 = cylindrical.

TED = tuber eye depth: 1 = very deep, 5 = medium, 9 = very shallow. TCS = tuber cross section: 1 = very flat, 5 = intermediate, 9 = very round.

²Internal Defects: HH = hollow heart, IB = internal browning. Total number observed out of 12 tubers for replicated trials and total number out of 4 for non replicated trials (marked with *). 0 = not observed. ³External Defects: R = Rhizoctonia, H = hairline cracks, Gr = growth cracks, K = knobs, G = sunbum, Sc = scab, Sp = sprouts, T = secondary tubers. Scale = 0.4, with 0 = not observed, 1 = slight to 4 = very severe.

Table 9. Total yield, greater than 17/8" yield, percent of standard, size distribution, percent pickouts, and specific gravity for russet skinned or long white potato evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012

		1	,	60	,					
Voriet/Vine	Yield (Yield (cwt/A) ¹	%	Jo %	1%	% by size class ³	ass ³		0/ DC ⁴	Specific
vanety/ Lane	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	70FO	Gravity
Alpine Russet	456	267	69	106	22	28	8	0	32	1.075
Classic Russet	420	339	81	135	25	37	20	0	13	1.068
Dakota Trailblazer	402	288	72	115	19	39	13	0	23	1.097
Premier Russet	349	238	89	95	34	24	10	0	26	1.082
Russet Burbank	408	135	33	54	14	14	9	0	63	1.066
Russet Norkotah #3177	322	251	78	100	37	25	12	4	17	1.062
AF3001-6	256	327	59	130	12	22	23	1	38	1.079
AF3008-3	240	190	78	92	62	16	0	0	10	1.090
AF3317-15	304	129	42	51	21	21	0	0	51	1.090
AF3362-1	306	190	63	92	13	36	13	0	34	1.064
AF4040-2	308	154	20	61	14	24	11	0	46	1.076
AF4172-2	306	272	88	108	37	36	15	0	_	1.078
AF4113-2	335	270	81	108	45	31	4	0	6	1.067
AF4124-4	349	162	45	65	25	13	∞	0	49	1.066
AF4124-7	300	170	55	89	17	25	14	0	39	1.073
AF4222-5	228	174	74	69	56	34	14	0	19	1.069
AF4320-7	436	234	54	93	20	22	10	7	41	1.077
AF4320-17	376	272	72	108	45	27		0	20	1.075
AF4329-7	320	200	62	80	48	13	7	0	23	1.067
AF4347-1	392	179	43	71	21	16	9	0	52	1.076
AF4607-1	286	156	54	62	34	16	4	0	39	1.074
AF4609-1	350	162	47	4	22	17	7	0	20	1.079
AF4185-1	406	277	89	110	48	20	0	0	18	1.069
AC00395-2RU	478	259	54	103	31	23	0	0	37	1.085
CO99053-3RU	370	222	09	88	56	25	6	0	31	1.076
CO99100-1RU	211	159	9/	63	49	56	0	0	11	1.061
AC99375-1RU	419	223	53	68	28	21	4	0	36	1.081
CO99053-4RU	293	140	48	99	56	22	0	0	40	1.061
A01025-4	346	222	49	88	27	34	3	0	27	1.075

Variety/I in e	Yield ($Yield (cwt/A)^1$	%	% of	%	% by size class ³	lass ³		0.004	Specific
Varioty/ Luic	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	%FO	Gravity
A98345-1	341	244	71	26	33	37		0	22	1.081
Russet Norkotah	298	215	72	98	21	30	21	0	21	1.061
Markies yf	415	293	71	116	39	28	4	0	23	1.071
Lady Lenora	330	177	54	70	40	14	0	0	31	1.075
AF4430-1 (round white)*	476	442	93	176	27	20	15	0	_	1.059
AF4720-17*	287	210	73	84	12	39	23	0	26	1.073
AF4749-5*	371	256	69	102	17	35	16	0	23	1.081
AF4749-14*	513	317	62	126	39	23	0	0	25	1.082
AF4953-2*	295	220	75	87	43	31	0	0	18	1.077
$CO99045-1W/Y*^{yf}$	503	301	09	120	40	20	0	0	32	1.075
US I	83	81	16		13	13	13	C	15	

²Percentage of the standard, Russet Norkotah #3117 for >1 7/8" yield.

³Percentage of total yield according to size class: 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5=>4.0 in.

⁴Percentage of total that are pickouts.

Replicated trials are the average of 3 replicates except for those lines with * which were non-replicated.

LSD indicates least significant difference (P = 0.05), calculated for replicated varieties.

Plots consisted of 10-ft rows with 12 seed pieces spaced 10-in. apart. Yellow flesh varieties are indicated with $^{\mathrm{yf}}$.

Table 10. Tuber characteristics, internal and external defects for russet skinned or long white potato evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012

		Tuh	Tuher Char	aracteristics ¹	150		Internal	Internal Defects ²			i i	dernal	External Defects ³	3		
Variety/Line	TA	C	XX	Sh	TED	TCS	HH	B	Rhizoc	Н	j	×	Ŋ	Sc	dS	Г
Alpine Russet	3	9	5	4	9	5	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Classic Russet	4	9	2	4	7	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
Dakota Trailblazer	4	2	4	4	9	5	2	0	2	0	1	_	1	0	0	0
Premier Russet	4	9	4	4	7	4	\mathcal{C}	0	7	0	1	_	0	0	0	0
Russet Burbank	\mathcal{S}	9	2	4	7	4	2	0	2	0	7	\mathcal{C}	1	0	0	0
Russet Norkotah #3177	5	2	\mathcal{E}	4	9	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
AF3001-6	4	9	9	4	7	4	_	0	_	0	0	_	1	0	0	0
AF3008-3	4	9	4	κ	7	4	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF3317-15	3	2	\mathcal{E}	4	7	5	0	7	2	0	0	_	7	0	0	0
AF3362-1	4	9	4	4	7	5	0	7	_	0	0	_	1	0	0	0
AF4040-2	3	9	9	4	7	4	0	0	-	0	1	_	7	0	0	0
AF4172-2	4	9	9	κ	7	5	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
AF4113-2	4	7	9	ϵ	7	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
AF4124-4	33	9	9	ϵ	7	2	0	0	_	0	0	0	1	0	0	_
AF4124-7	4	9	9	4	9	4	1	0	-	0	0	_	1	0	0	0
AF4222-5	4	9	4	\mathcal{E}	7	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4320-7	4	2	3	4	7	4	0	0	_	0	0	7	_	0	0	0
AF4320-17	5	2	3	4	7	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
AF4329-7	4	9	\$	\mathcal{R}	7	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
AF4347-1	3	2	\mathcal{E}	4	7	4	0	0	_	0	0	-	7	1	0	0
AF4607-1	4	2	4	3	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4609-1	4	9	9	4	7	S	0	1	-	0	0	2	-	0	0	0
AF4185-1	3	2	κ	\mathcal{E}	9	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
AC00395-2RU	4	2	ϵ	4	9	5	_	0	7	0	0	_	_	0	0	_
CO99053-3RU	4	9	4	4	7	5	3	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CO99100-1RU	5	9	4	4	9	2	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
AC99375-1RU	4	2	3	4	7	2	7	0	7	0	0		1	0	0	0
CO99053-4RU	4	9	4	4	7	4	0	0		0	1	0	1	0	0	0
A01025-4	4	9	5	4	7	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

1/		Tub	er Char	acteris	tics		Internal	Defects ²			Ħ	xternal	Defect	s^3		
variety/Line	TA	C	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	HH	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Ğ	K	Ð	Sc	dS	Τ
A98345-1	4	9	5	4	9	5	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Russet Norkotah	5	5	ϵ	4	7	5	_	0	_	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Markies	4	7	7	ϵ	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	_	0	0	0
Lady Lenora	4	9	9	7	7	5	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4430-1 (round white)*	5	7	9	7	9	S	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4720-17*	4	9	9	ϵ	9	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF4749-5*	5	5	4	4	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	_	П	0	0	0
AF4749-14*	5	9	9	4	7	4	0	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
AF4953-2*	4	5	4	ϵ	7	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO99045-1W/Y*	4	9	5	4	7	5	_	0	_	0	0	1	_	0	0	0

Tuber Characteristics: TA = tuber appearance: 1 = very poor, 5 = fair, 9 = excellent.

C = skin color: 1 = purple, 2 = red, 3 = pink, 4 = dark brown, 5 = brown, 6 = tan, 7 = buff, 8 = white, 9 = cream.

TX = skin texture: 1 = partial russet, 2 = heavy russet, 3 = mod. russet, 4 = light russet, 5 = netted, 6 = slight net, 7 = mod. smooth, 8 = smooth, 9 = very smooth.

Sh = tuber shape: 1 = round, 2 = mostly round, 3 = round-oblong, 4 = mostly oblong, 5 = oblong, 6 = oblong-long, 7 = mostly long, 8 = long, 9 = cylindrical.

² Internal Defects: HH = hollow heart, IB = internal browning. Total number observed out of 12 tubers for replicated trials and total number out of 4 for TED = tuber eye depth: 1 = very deep, 5 = medium, 9 = very shallow. TCS = tuber cross section: 1 = very flat, 5 = intermediate, 9 = very round.

non replicated trials (marked with *). 0 = not observed.

External Defects: R = Rhizoctonia, H = hairline cracks, Gr = growth cracks, K = knobs, G = sunburn, Sc = scab, Sp = sprouts, T = secondary tubers.

Scale = 0-4, with 0 = not observed, 1 = slight to 4 = very severe.

Table 11. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" percent of standard, size distribution, percent pickouts, and specific gravity for NE1031 potato evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012

XY X	Yield (Yield (cwt/A) ¹	%	Jo %	%	% by size class ³	lass ³		4000	Specific
vanety/ Line	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	%PO.	Gravity
Alpine Russet	441	262	09	71	20	29	10	0	32	1.075
Atlantic	414	368	68	100	21	45	21	1	7	1.084
Chieftain	435	405	93	110	29	53	12	0	\mathcal{C}	1.071
Classic Russet	423	325	78	88	24	35	18	0	17	1.068
Dakota Crisp	524	464	88	126	15	48	24	7	~	1.076
Dakota Trailblazer	395	285	72	77	21	34	18	0	23	1.097
Dark Red Norland	334	295	88	80	20	\$	14	0	8	1.061
JOMA	539	309	58	84	11	27	21	0	40	1.076
Katahdin	360	322	8	88	22	50	18	0	7	1.072
Kennebec	456	212	47	58	10	18	18	0	50	1.073
Modoc	352	309	87	84	51	36	0	0	-	1.067
Premier Russet	343	219	63	59	30	23	11	0	30	1.082
Red Sunset	327	300	91	82	37	54	1	0	7	1.062
Rochdale Gold-Doree yf	320	289	68	62	23	46	20	0	4	1.078
Russet Burbank	398	123	31	34	15	12	4	0	9	1.066
Russet Norkotah #3177	316	240	9/	92	35	26	12	3	17	1.062
Snowden	472	420	68	114	24	51	14	0	∞	1.085
Superior	390	342	88	93	22	20	14	_	10	1.070
Yukon Gem ^{yf}	541	504	46	137	23	52	19	0	4	1.073
Yukon Gold ^{yt}	365	306	8	83	14	37	30	3	13	1.076
AF0338-17	398	347	87	94	11	34	33	10	6	1.079
AF3001-6	558	318	57	87	12	21	22	7	40	1.079
AF3008-3	234	180	9/	49	62	14	0	0	10	1.090
AF3317-15	309	135	4	37	18	22	4	0	51	1.090
AF3362-1	293	201	70	55	16	43	11	0	27	1.064
AF4013-3 ^{yf}	438	388	68	105	31	47	10	0	7	1.084
AF4040-2	304	169	99	46	15	30	10	0	40	1.076
AF4125-1	325	261	80	71	28	41	6	2	15	1.075

Veri Il ino	Yield ($i (cwt/A)^1$	%	fo %	%	% by size class ³	lass ³		40.6	Specific
vallety/Lille		>1 7/8"	US#1	Standard ²	2	3	4	5	%PO:	Gravity
AF4157-6	419	356	85	26	26	52	7	0	11	1.080
AF4172-2	293	254	85	69	42	32	11	0	1	1.078
B2727-2	309	281	91	92	32	50	6	0	5	1.093
B2731-11	394	356	06	26	11	40	38	0	6	1.084
BNC182-5	513	465	91	127	17	45	59	0	5	1.086
NY150	410	261	63	71	57	9	0	0		1.083
NYE106-4	493	450	91	122	25	41	24	_	5	1.088
TSD	9	92	12		11	11	11	3	11	

 2 Percentage of the standard, Atlantic, for >1 7/8" yield.

³Percentage of total yield according to size class. 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5=>4.0 in.

 4 Percentage of total that are pickouts. Yellow flesh varieties are indicated with $^{
m yf}$.

Replicated trials are the average of 4 replicates. LSD indicates least significant difference (P=0.05).

Russets were planted 10-in. apart with 12 seed pieces per 10-ft plot, all other varieties were spaced 8-in. apart with 15 seed pieces per 10-ft plot.

Table 12. Tuber characteristics, internal and external defects for NE1031 potato evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012

		E	-		-		11	2 , 2)	ŗ	<u> </u>	3			
Variety/Line		<u>-</u>	uber Ch	Tuber Characteristics	stics		Internal Defects	Jetects [~]			EXI	ernal D	External Detects			
	TA	C	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	HH	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Ğ	K	G	Sc	Sp	П
Alpine Russet	3	9	5	4	9	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Atlantic	5	9	9	2	4	5	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Chieftain	5	2	7	3	9	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Classic Russet	4	9	2	4	7	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Dakota Crisp	5	9	7	2	3	5	2	0	2	0	_	0	1	0	0	0
Dakota Trailblazer	4	5	4	4	9	5	2	0	7	0	_	1	1	0	0	0
Dark Red Norland	5	2	7	3	5	5	0	0	1	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
JOMA	3	7	7	3	5	5		0	1	0	7	7	7	_	0	0
Katahdin	4	7	7	3	5	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kennebec	3	7	7	4	\$	2	3	0	1	0	7	7	7	0	0	0
Modoc	9	2	8	2	9	9	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Premier Russet	4	9	4	4	7	4	3	0	7	0	-	1	0	0	0	0
Red Sunset	S	2	∞	3	9	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rochdale Gold-Doree	5	7	7	2	S	2	2	0	1	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
Russet Burbank	3	9	5	4	7	4	2	0	7	0	2	3	-	0	0	0
Russet Norkotah #3177	5	5	3	4	9	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	_	0	0	0
Snowden	5	9	5	7	4	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Superior	4	7	7	3	4	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Yukon Gem	5	9	7	3	S	2	2	0	1	0	-	0		0	0	0
Yukon Gold	4	9	8	2	4	2	4	0	7	0	-	0	_	0	0	0
AF0338-17	4	7	9	3	S	2	2	0	7	0	_	0	-	0	0	0
AF3001-6	4	9	9	4	7	4	\vdash	0	1	0	0	1	_	0	0	0
AF3008-3	4	9	4	3	7	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AF3317-15	3	5	3	4	7	5	0	7	2	0	0	1	7	0	0	0
AF3362-1	4	9	4	4	7	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0
AF4013-3	5	7	∞	2	9	2		0	3	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
AF4040-2	3	9	9	4	7	4	0	0	1	0	_	1	7	0	0	0
AF4125-1	5	8	7	2	4	9	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0

$V_{ m aris}$		Tuber (er Char	acterist	ics ¹		Internal L	efects ²	1		Ext	External Do)efects ³			
valiety/ Enic	TA	C T	TX	Sh	TED	TCS	НН	IB	Rhizoc	Н	Gr	K	G	Sc	Sp	Τ
AF4157-6	5	7	7	2	4	5	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
AF4172-2	4	9	9	3	7	S	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
B2727-2	5	9	9	7	9	S	2	0	П	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
B2731-11	5	9	5	7	4	9	4		-	0		0	-	0	0	0
BNC182-5	4	9	5	7	S	S	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
NY150	9	∞	%	7	9	5	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
NYE106-4	4	9	9	2	4	9	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

¹Tuber Characteristics: TA = tuber appearance: 1 = very poor, 5 = fair, 9 = excellent.

C = skin color: 1 = purple, 2 = red, 3 = pink, 4 = dark brown, 5 = brown, 6 = tan, 7 = buff, 8 = white, 9 = cream.

TX = skin texture: 1 = partial russet, 2 = heavy russet, 3 = mod. russet, 4 = light russet, 5 = netted, 6 = slight net, 7 = mod. smooth, 8 = smooth, 9 = very

Sh = tuber shape: 1 = round, 2 = mostly round, 3 = round-oblong, 4 = mostly oblong, 5 = oblong, 6 = oblong-long, 7 = mostly long, 8 = long, 9 = cylindrical.

TED = tuber eye depth: 1 = very deep, 5 = medium, 9 = very shallow. TCS = tuber cross section: 1 = very flat, 5 = intermediate, 9 = very round.

² Internal Defects: HH = hollow heart, IB = internal browning. Total number observed out of 16 tubers for replicated trials and total number out of 4 for non replicated trials (marked with *). 0 = not observed.

³External Defects: R = Rhizoctonia, H = hairline cracks, Gr = growth cracks, K = knobs, G = sunburn, Sc = scab, Sp = sprouts, T = secondary tubers.

Scale = 0-4, with 0 = not observed, 1 = slight to 4 = very severe.

Russets were planted 10-in. apart with 12 seed pieces per 10-ft plot, all other varieties were spaced 8-in. apart with 15 seed pieces per 10-ft plot.

2012 in: A) Lehigh County, Forrest Wessner Farm; B) Erie County, Kevin Troyer Farm; C) Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm. About 200 lbs of each Table 13. Total yield, greater than 17/8" yield, size distribution, percent pick outs and specific gravity for potato commercial trials of three varieties in variety were planted in each location.

Location	Location Variaty/Lina	Yield (cwt/A)	$wt/A)^1$	%	%	% by size class ²	ss ²		0, nO3	Specific
LOCATION	valiety/ Laise	Total	>1 7/8"	US#1	2	3	4	5	%PO	Gravity
Α	AF3001-6	356	199	99	28	19	6	0	36	1.070
	Markies yf	268	117	4	37	7	0	0	19	1.067
	Lady Lenora	237	84	35	34	1	0	0	34	1.066
В	AF3001-6	434	308	71	12	35	24	0	26	1.079
	Markies yf	375	246	99	4	20	1	0	21	1.079
	Lady Lenora	265	26	37	33	33	0	0	19	1.089
C	AF3001-6	417	334	80	15	27	31	7	18	1.082
	Markies yf	305	237	78	44	27	9	0	16	1.077
	Lady Lenora	223	86	4	42		0	0	29	1.089

²Percentage of total yield according to size class. 2=1.875-2.5 in., 3=2.5-3.25 in., 4=3.25-4.0 in., 5=>4.0 in.

³Percentage of total that are pickouts.

Yellow flesh varieties are indicated with yf.

Table 14: Management of Evaluation Trials, 2012

Rock Springs

Planting Date: 18 May for Russet Varieties, 7 Jun for round white and red varieties

Harvest Date: 9, 10 and 15 Oct

Previous Crop: Wheat followed by mustard green manure

Fertilizer Rate/A: Pre-plant: 189 lb/A 0-0-60 (N-P-K); at planting: 1051 lb/A 10-10-10 (N-P-K)

Herbicide: Eptam 7E, Dual Magnum II, Sencor 75DF, Matrix

Fungicide: Gavel 75DF, Manzate ProStik, Tanos, Bravo WS, Curzate 60 DF

Insecticide: Regent, Admire Pro, Baythroid XL, Assail, Asana XL

Vine Kill: 19 and 24 Sep

Rainfall (inches): May (8.22). June (2.73), July (3.30), August (5.11), September (4.28)

Irrigation (inches): 28 June (1.10), 6 July (1.05), 13 July (1.00)

Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to late blight, 2012.

In two experiments, seventy-two potato cultivars and advanced breeding lines were evaluated at the Russell E. Larson Agricultural Research Center at Rock Springs, PA. The soil type was a Hagerstown silty clay loam. The previous crop was wheat. Potatoes were planted on 20 Jun. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replicates in both experiments. The plots were 4 ft long with five seed pieces planted in each plot and 5 ft breaks between plots within a row. At planting, 1051 lb/A of 10-10-10 (N-P-K) was banded in-the-row. Liquid N fertilizer was applied at 33 lb/A on 24 Jul while hilling. Precipitation was 2.73, 3.30, 5.11, and 4.28 in. for Jun, Jul, Aug, and Sep, respectively. Natural infection of late blight (US23) was observed in the field in mid-August. On 17 Aug, spreader rows were inoculated with a mixture of three isolates of *Phytophthora infestans* genotype US23, at a concentration of 2.19 × 10⁵ sporangia/ml, to promote a uniform spread of the pathogen to all treatment plots. Overhead irrigation was applied at 0.90 in. on 2 Jul, and 0.70 in. on 9 Jul. Overhead sprinklers were used for approx. one hour daily when the weather was dry and hot to increase humidity in the plant canopy after inoculation. Disease ratings were determined by visually assessing each 4 ft plot and estimating the percentage of diseased foliage caused by late blight. Assessments were made on 18, 24, 30 Aug and 6 Sep. Disease data were expressed as area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC), subjected to analysis of variance, and means separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference test (SAS v. 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Kennebec was considered the moderately resistant check for experiment #1; therefore, Rochdale Gold-Doree, Yukon Gem, NY150, AF3317-15, NYE106-4, Premier Russet, Classic Russet, JOMA, Alpine Russet, Dakota Trailblazer, and BNC182-5 were considered resistant to moderately resistant. In experiment #2, B0718-3 was the resistant check; therefore, lines LBR3, LBR4, MSP516-A, AWN86514-2, MSQ131-A, A02507-2LB, B0692-4, MSR061-1, AF4122-3, AF3317-15, LBR2, LBR7, A02424-83LB, AF4191-2, AND99362B-1Russ, AF4677-1, AF4329-7, and LBR1 were considered resistant to moderately resistant.

Cultivar/Line	AUDPC ^z	Cultivar/Line	AUDPC	Cultivar/Line	AUDPC
Experiment #1		Experiment #1 (continued)		Experiment #2 (continued)	
Rochdale Gold-Doree	4 r ^y	Superior	. 599 ef	LBR7	73 j-n
Yukon Gem	4 r	AF3008-3	. 649 e	A02424-83LB	74 j-n
NY150 (NYF52-1)	4 r	AF4157-6	. 793 d	AF4191-2	89 i-m
AF3317-15	25 qr	AF4125-1	. 830 d	AND99362B-1Russ	90 i-m
NYE106-4	56 p-r	B2727-2	. 866 cd	AF4677-1	93 i-l
Premier Russet (A93157-LS)	85 o-r	AF4172-2	. 898 cd	AF4329-7	113 i-k
Classic Russet (A95109-1)	97 o-r	Modoc	. 956 bc	LBR1	127 ij
JOMA	122 n-q	AF4013-3	. 962 a-c	AC00395-2RU	165 i
Kennebec	131 n-q	Red Sunset	. 1020 ab	CO02024-9W	249 h
Alpine Russet (A9305-10)	149 m-p	Dark Red Norland	. 1071 a	LBR5	284 h
Dakota Trailblazer	178 l-o			MSR214-2P	290 h
BNC182-5	231 k-n			MSQ086-3	323 h
Dakota Crisp	254 k-m	Experiment #2		B2958-2	416 g
Russet Burbank	274 kl	LBR3	. 6 n	A03158-2TE	447 g
Snowden	276 j-l	LBR4	. 8 mn	CO02033-1W	447 g
Katahdin	325 i-k	MSP516-A	. 10 mn	ND071412CB-1Russ	468 fg
B2731-11	385 h-j	AWN86514-2	. 10 mn	B2874-1	474 fg
Russet Norkotah #3117	433 hi	MSQ131-A	. 13 l-n	B2942-5	546 ef
AF3001-6	457 gh	A02507-2LB	. 15 l-n	A01010-1	619 de
Yukon Gold	475 gh	B0692-4	. 30 l-n	ND081476B-1Rus	652 cd
AF4040-2	493 f-h	MSR061-1	. 43 k-n	A02138-2	729 c
AF0338-17	570 e-g	AF4122-3	. 51 j-n	B2971-2	839 b
Atlantic	571 e-g	B0718-3	. 53 j-n	B2954-11	857 ab
Chieftain	575 e-g	AF3317-15	. 65 j-n	CO02321-4W	870 ab
AF3362-1	596 ef	LBR2	. 65 j-n	ND071302B-2Russ	924 a

AUDPC = Area under the disease progress curve was calculated from 18 Aug to 6 Sep according to the formula : $\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(R_{i+1} + R_i)/2] [t_{i+1} - t_i]$, where R = disease severity rating (% of leaf surface affected) at the *i*th observation, t_i = time (days) since the previous rating at the *i*th observation, and n = total number of observations).

^y Means followed by the same letter within each experiment are not significantly different at P = 0.05 as determined by Fisher's protected least significant difference test (LSD = 110 for experiment 1, LSD = 82 for experiment 2).

X.S. Qu and B.J. Christ
Department of Plant Pathology &
Environmental Microbiology
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to early blight, 2012.

Thirty-five potato cultivars and advanced breeding lines were evaluated at the Russell E. Larson Agricultural Research Center at Rock Springs, PA. The soil type was a Hagerstown silty clay loam. The previous crop was wheat. Entries were planted on 8 Jun in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. Plots consisted of a single row 4 ft long with five seed pieces planted in each plot with a 4 ft break between plots. Each entry had an adjacent row of the susceptible cv. 'Dark Red Norland'. Fertilization was 1051 lb/A of 10-10-10 (N-P-K) banded in-the-row at planting. Precipitation was 2.73, 3.30, 5.11, and 4.28 in. for Jun, Jul, Aug, and Sep, respectively. Overhead irrigation was applied at 0.5 in. on 29 Jun and 0.85 in. on 10 Jul. On 9 Aug, spreader rows were inoculated with a conidial mixture of three isolates of *Alternaria solani*, at a concentration of 4.07×10^4 conidia/ml, to promote a uniform spread of the pathogen to all treatment plots. For each plot, the percentage of diseased foliage was visually assessed on 16 and 23 Aug and 1, 7 and 14 Sep. Disease data were expressed as the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC), subjected to an analysis of variance and means separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference test (SAS v. 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Seventeen cultivars/lines were classified as resistant to moderately resistant, and they are: Premier Russet, Classic Russet, AF3317-15, Dakota Trailblazer, NYE106-4, Kennebec, JOMA, Russet Burbank, BNC182-5, Katahdin, Alpine Russet, Snowden, AF3001-6, Dakota Crisp, Yukon Gem, Superior, and Chieftain.

Cultivar/Line	$AUDPC^{z}$	Cultivar/Line	AUDPC
Premier Russet (A93157-LS)	30 q ^y	B2731-11	226 h-o
Classic Russet (A95109-1)	40 pq	AF3362-1	258 h-n
AF3317-15	41 pq	NY150 (NYF52-1)	268 h-m
Dakota Trailblazer	44 pq	Russet Norkotah #3117	294 g-l
NYE106-4	73 o-q	B2727-2	294 g-l
Kennebec	85 n-q	Atlantic	303 f-k
JOMA	95 m-q	Rochdale Gold-Doree	311 f-j
Russet Burbank	101 m-q	AF4040-2	327 e-i
BNC182-5	108 m-q	AF0338-17	358 e-h
Katahdin	109 m-q	AF4172-2	452 d-g
Alpine Russet (A9305-10)	119 l-q	AF3008-3	472 c-g
Snowden	127 k-q	AF4013-3	476 c-f
AF3001-6	143 j-q	AF4157-6	502 с-е
Dakota Crisp	147 j-q	Red Sunset	618 b-d
Yukon Gem	150 i-q	Modoc	633 bc
Superior	168 i-q	AF4125-1	725 b
Chieftain	176 i-q	Dark Red Norland	1053 a
Yukon Gold	213 h-p		

^z AUDPC = area under the disease progress curve was calculated from 16 Aug to 14 Sep according to the formula : $\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(R_{i+1} + R_i)/2] [t_{i+1} - t_i]$, where R = disease severity rating (% of leaf surface affected) at the *i*th observation, t_i = time (days) since the previous rating at the *i*th observation, and n = total number of observations).

^y Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P = 0.05 as determined by Fisher's protected least significant difference test (LSD = 179).

X.S. Qu and B.J. Christ Department of Plant Pathology & Environmental Microbiology The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

Evaluation of potato cultivars and breeding lines for resistance to powdery scab, 2012.

Thirty-six potato cultivars and advanced breeding lines were planted in a naturally infested field in Potter Co., PA on 25 May. The soil type was a Mardin silt loam. The previous crop was corn. Plots consisted of 6 ft rows, which were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Within each plot, 8 seed pieces were spaced 8 in. apart. Fertilizer was banded in-furrow at a rate of 1200 lb/A 8.5-8.5-11.4-19.0 5.7 (N-P-K-S-Mg) at planting. Precipitation was 4.39, 4.44, 2.81, and 4.46 in. for Jun, Jul, Aug, and Sep, respectively. Standard crop management procedures and a recommended program for control of early and late blight were followed. Reglone (1.0 pt/A) was applied to vine kill on 27 Aug and 5 Sep. Tubers were harvested on 27 Sep. The tubers were visually assessed, and the number of tubers with powdery scab was determined from the total number of tubers per plot. Disease incidence was calculated as the percentage of tubers with powdery scab. Data was subjected to an analysis of variance test, and means were separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference test (SAS v. 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

The powdery scab disease pressure was low thus making it difficult to separate cultivars/lines into groups (resistant, moderately resistant, moderately susceptible, and susceptible). Based on our past years' data, Kennebec and Shepody should be susceptible, and Russet Burbank should be moderately resistant. Cultivars and breeding lines with less powdery scab than Dark Red Norland indicate some level of resistance.

	Powdery Scab		Powdery Scab
Cultivar/Line	Incidence (%)	Cultivar/Line	Incidence (%)
Dakota Trailblazer	1.4 i ^z	AF4040-2	. 8.8 b-i
AF3008-3	2.1 hi	NY150 (NYF52-1)	. 9.1 b-i
Russet Burbank	2.4 g-i	Atlantic	. 9.2 b-i
NYE106-4	2.4 g-i	Alpine Russet (A9305-10)	. 10.7 b-i
B2731-11	2.6 g-i	Dakota Crisp	. 11.3 b-i
AF3317-15	3.3 f-i	Shepody	. 11.6 b-i
AF3001-6	4.0 e-i	Red Sunset	. 12.9 b-i
AF3362-1	4.1 e-i	Superior	. 13.2 b-h
Rochdale Gold-Doree	4.2 e-i	B2727-2	. 14.0 a-g
Premier Russet (A93157-LS)	4.8 e-i	Katahdin	. 15.0 a-f
AF4157-6	5.3 d-i	Yukon Gem	. 15.1 a-f
AF4172-2	5.9 c-i	AF4013-3	. 15.4 a-e
BNC182-5	5.9 c-i	AF4125-1	. 16.8 a-d
Dark Red Norland	6.6 c-i	Yukon Gold	. 17.0 a-d
Classic Russet (A95109-1)	6.8 c-i	Snowden	. 17.1 a-d
Modoc	6.9 c-i	AF0338-17	. 17.6 a-c
Chieftain	7.8 b-i	JOMA	. 19.1 ab
Russet Norkotah #3117	8.4 b-i	Kennebec	. 25.6 a

^z Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P = 0.05 as determined by Fisher's protected least significant difference test (LSD = 11.8).

X.S. Qu, M.W. Peck, and B.J. Christ Department of Plant Pathology & Environmental Microbiology The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

Evaluation of fungicides for control of potato late blight, 2012.

Fungicides were evaluated on potato cv. 'Atlantic' at the Penn State Russell E. Larson Agricultural Research Center at Rock Springs, PA. The soil type was a Hagerstown silty clay loam. The previous crop was wheat. Potatoes were planted on 15 Jun. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replicates. Plots were three rows wide (36 in. spacing between rows) and 10 ft long with 8 in. seed piece spacing. Fertilization was 790 lb/A of 20-10-10 (N-P-K) banded in-the-row at planting. Liquid N fertilizer was applied at 33 lb/A on 1 Aug while hilling. Precipitation was 2.73, 3.30, 5.11, and 4.28 in. for Jun, Jul, Aug, and Sep, respectively. Natural infection of late blight (US23) was observed in the field in mid-August. On 17 Aus, spreader rows were inoculated with mixture of three isolates of *Phytophthora infestans* genotype US23, at a concentration of 2.19×10^5 sporangia/ml, to promote a uniform spread of the pathogen to all treatment plots. Overhead sprinklers were used for approx, one hour daily when the weather was dry and hot to increase humidity in the plant canopy after inoculation. Fungicides were applied with a tractor-mounted, N₂-pressurized side boom sprayer at 30 psi and 45 gal/A. The spray boom was equipped with drop nozzles and boom nozzles so that both sides and the top of each plant were uniformly sprayed. Disease ratings were determined by visually assessing each plot for the percentage of diseased foliage caused by late blight. The plots were rated on 18, 24, 30 Aug and 6, 14 Sep and the assessments were used to calculate the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC). Plants were vine killed on 20 and 24 Sep with Reglone (2.0 pt/A). The middle row of each plot was harvested on 16 Oct. Tubers were sorted and yield data were collected. Disease data were subjected to analysis of variance and Fisher's protected least significant difference test (SAS v. 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

All of the treatments, except for CX-10250 3.0 oz and CX-10440 6.5 oz, significantly suppressed season-long foliar late blight compared to the untreated control. All of the treatments, except for CX-10440 at both the 6.5 oz and 13.0 oz rates, had significantly higher yields than the untreated control.

Treatment and rate of product per acre (application timing ^z)	AUDPC ^y	Yield (cwt/A) ^x
Untreated Control	1612 a ^w	144 f
Bravo Weather Stik 6SC 1.5 pt (A, B, C, D, E)	437 de	257 a-d
GAVEL 75DF 2.0 lb (A, B, C, D, E)	240 f	268 a-c
GWN-10126 32.0 oz (A, B, C, D, E)	283 f	304 a
GWN-10127 24.0 oz/A (A, B, C, D, E)	329 ef	275 a-c
GWN-4700 3.4 oz + GWN-10043 17 oz (A, B, C, D, E)	461 de	251 b-d
GWN-10043 20.0 oz (A, B, C, D, E)	527 cd	228 cd
GWN-4700 3.4 oz (A, B, C, D, E)	611 c	234 cd
Cabrio Plus 2.0 lb (A, B, C, D, E)	445 de	232 cd
Cabrio Plus 2.9 lb (A, B, C, D, E)	240 f	294 ab
CX-10250 3.0 oz (A, B, C, D, E)	1539 ab	214 de
CX-10250 3.0 oz (A, C, E) alt.		
Bravo Weather Stik 6SC 1.5 pt (B, D)	1404 b	214 de
CX-10250 3.0 oz + Bravo Weather Stik 6SC 0.75 pt (A, B, C, D, E)	557 cd	228 cd
CX-10440 6.5 oz (A, B, C, D, E)	1545 ab	167 ef
CX-10440 13.0 oz (A, B, C, D, E)	1449 b	164 f
LSD (0.05)	150	49

 $[\]overline{z}$ Dates of fungicide applications were as follows: A = Aug 15; B = Aug 22; C = Aug 29; D = Sep 5; E = Sep 12.

YAUDPC = Area under disease progress curve was calculated from 18 Aug to 14 Sep according to the formula : $\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(R_{i+1} + R_i)/2] [t_{i+1} - t_i]$, where R = disease severity rating (% of leaf surface affected) at the *i*th observation, t_i = time (days) since the previous rating at the *i*th observation, and n = total number of observations).

 $^{^{}x}$ cwt/A = hundred weight per acre for tubers with diameter greater than 1.875 inches.

^w Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P = 0.05 as determined by Fisher's protected least significant difference test.

X.S. Qu, M.W. Peck, and B.J. Christ
Department of Plant Pathology & Environmental Microbiology
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

Evaluation of fungicides for control of potato early blight, 2012.

Fungicides were evaluated for managing early blight on potato cv. 'Atlantic' at the Russell E. Larson Agricultural Research Center at Rock Springs, PA. The soil type was a Hagerstown silty clay loam. The previous crop was wheat. Potatoes were planted on 8 Jun. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replicates. Plots were three rows wide (36 in. spacing between rows) and 10 ft long with 8 in. seed piece spacing. Fertilization was 1051 lb/A of 10-10-10 banded inthe-row at planting. Precipitation was 2.73, 3.30, 5.11, and 4.28 in. for Jun, Jul, Aug, and Sep, respectively. Spreader rows were inoculated on 9 Aug. A mixture of three isolates of *Alternaria solani*, with a concentration of 4.07×10^4 conidia/ml, was used to promote a uniform spread of the pathogen to all treatment plots. Fungicides were applied with a tractor-mounted, N₂-pressurized side boom sprayer at 30 psi and 45 gal/A. The spray boom was equipped with drop nozzles and boom nozzles so that both sides and the top of each plant were uniformly sprayed. On 16 and 23 Aug and 1, 7, 14 and 22 Sep each plot was visually assessed for the percentage of diseased foliage caused by early blight. The six visual assessments of early blight infection were used to calculate the area under disease progress curve (AUDPC). Plants were vine killed on 24 Sep and 1 Oct with Reglone (2.0 pt/A). The middle row of each plot was harvested on 5 Oct. Tubers were sorted and yield data was collected. Disease data were subjected to analysis of variance and Fisher's protected least significant difference test (SAS v. 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

All treatments significantly reduced season-long early blight compared to the untreated control. All of the treatments, except for treatments CX-10440 at both the 6.5 oz 13.0 oz rates, had yields significantly higher than the untreated control.

Treatment and rate of product per acre (application timing ²)	AUDPC ^y	Yield (cwt/A) ^x
Untreated Control	. 680 a ^w	340 d
Bravo Weather Stik 6SC 1.5 pt (A, B, C, D, E, F, G)	. 230 с	416 a-c
Priaxor 500SC 4.0 oz (A, B, C, D, E, F, G)	. 117 d	436 ab
Cabrio Plus 60WG 2.0 lb (A, B, C, D, E, F, G)		459 a
CX-10440 6.5 oz (A, B, C, D, E, F, G)	. 395 b	386 b-d
CX-10440 13 oz (A, B, C, D, E, F, G)		362 cd
LSD (0.05)	. 91	58

^z Dates of fungicide applications were as follows: A = Aug 7; B = Aug 14; C = Aug 21; D = Aug 28; E = Sep 4; F = Sep 11; G = Sep 19.

YAUDPC = Area under disease progress curve was calculated from 16 Aug to 22 Sep according to the formula : $\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(R_{i+1} + R_i)/2] [t_{i+1} - t_i]$, where R = disease severity rating (% of leaf surface affected) at the *i*th observation, t_i = time (days) since the previous rating at the *i*th observation, and n = total number of observations).

^x cwt/A = hundred weight per acre for tubers with diameter greater than 1.875 inches.

w Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P = 0.05 as determined by Fisher's protected least significant difference test.

Supplemental Progress Report, 2012------March 20, 2013

Pennsylvania Regional Potato Germplasm Evaluation Program, 2012

Barbara J. Christ, Michael W. Peck, and Xinshun Qu Department of Plant Pathology & Environmental Microbiology The Pennsylvania State University

The objective of this project is to find new breeding lines that are well adapted to Pennsylvania potato growing conditions, and have qualities that are suitable for either processing or tablestock use. We cooperate with the directors of several other potato breeding programs from the Northeast US and a few programs from outside the Northeast by evaluating their potato germplasm. Data from this project helps breeders determine which lines to consider for potential release as new varieties, thereby bringing about new potato varieties for you.

Regional trials were established in three counties across Pennsylvania: Lehigh, Erie and the Russell E. Larson Agricultural Research Center at Rock Springs, Centre Co. Please see the Progress Report from December 2012 for details.

During the winter months, tests were performed to evaluate germplasm for chip, French fry processing and culinary qualities. Storage ability, sprouting, and other traits were also noted as the tests were conducted. Presented in this report are the chip processing results (Tables 1-3), French fry results (Tables 4-8), and the culinary quality results (Table 9). The data are collected from small samples, which may not reflect all possible variations one may see within a commercial harvest.

Materials and Methods

From harvest until November, tuber samples were placed in a pole barn where they were subjected to fluctuating temperatures. We did not perform out of the field chip testing this year. Storage temperatures are listed at the bottom of each table. The chipping procedure at the PSU Lab was as follows. Four tubers from each breeding line/variety were peeled, cut in half, and sliced. Eight slices from the center of each half were used for chipping. Slices were fried at 365°F. The chip samples were rated on a scale of 1-10, which is in accordance with the Snack Food Color Chart. The oil used for chipping was soy-based oil (Bakers Chef heavy-duty oil). French fry tests were conducted as follows. Four tubers were peeled and sliced. Center slices (36 over the 4 tubers) were blanched in water for 3 minutes at 185°F then fried for 3 minutes at 365°F. The samples were rated using the USDA scale; see Tables 4-8 for details.

Results

Yield results and listings of noteworthy varieties/lines were provided in the December 2012 progress report.

Chipping (Tables 1-3)

There was no chipping directly out of the field (within two-three days of harvesting). Atlantic and Snowden are the standard varieties to use for comparing the chip color of the other lines.

There were a few noteworthy lines from the short term storage chipping in December: At Rock Springs, Snowden, AF4125-1, B2727-2, B2731-11, AF4363-5, AF4376-3, Elkton and NY140 had the best color; AF4157-6, B2728-2, W2324-1 and AF4917-3 had acceptable color. At Lehigh, AF4363-5 had the best color and Snowden had acceptable color. At Erie, Snowden, Reba, NY140, BNC182-5, AF4363-5, B2727-2, NY148 and AF0338-17 had the best color; Atlantic, Lady Lenora, NY141, B2731-11, AF4157-6 and W2324-1 had acceptable color.

From the results of the 3 week reconditioning the noteworthy lines are: At Rock Springs, Snowden, AF4157-6 and AF4363-5 had the best color; B2727-2, B2731-11, NYE106-4, NY140, Lady Lenora, AF4463-8 and AF4573-2 had acceptable color. At Lehigh, Snowden and AF4363-5 had the best color; BNC182-5 and NY140 had acceptable color. At Erie, Atlantic, Reba, AF4363-5, B2727-2 and AF4157-6 had the best color; Snowden, Lady Lenora, NY140, B2589-3, B2731-11 and NY148 had acceptable color.

From the results of the 6 week reconditioning the noteworthy lines are: At Rock Springs, Snowden, AF4157-6, B2727-2, AF4363-5 and NY140 had the best color; Atlantic, B2731-11, NYE106-4, BNC202-3, W2324-1, AF4463-8, AF4573-2 and AF4965-2 had acceptable color. At Lehigh, NY140 had the best color; AF4363-5 and NY148 had acceptable color. At Erie, Atlantic, Reba, AF4363-5 and B2731-11 had the best color; Snowden, Lady Lenora, NY141, B2589-3, B2727-2 and AF4157-6 had acceptable color.

From the results of the chipping directly from 45°F the noteworthy lines are: At Rock Springs, Snowden, B2727-2 and AF4363-5 had the best color; AF4157-6 had acceptable color. At Lehigh, NY140 had acceptable color. At Erie, AF4363-5, B2731-11 and AF4157-6 had the best color; Atlantic, Reba, NY140, B2727-2 and NY148 had acceptable color.

French fry Tests (Tables 4-8)

At Rock Springs, Alpine Russet, Dakota Trailblazer, Premier Russet, AF3001-6, AF3008-3, AF4320-17, A98345-1 and Markies had the best French fry color. At Erie, CO99053-4RU, Dakota Trailblazer, AF3001-6, Premier Russet, Markies, CO99053-3RU, Challenger, AF3317-15 and Silverton Russet had the best color. At Lehigh, AF3001-6, Dakota Trailblazer and Premier Russet had the best color.

Tablestock Tests (Table 9)

There were several new lines tested for tablestock varieties. Many of the lines that were boiled retained their white or yellow color with no sloughing therefore, suggesting that they are better suited for this purpose than Katahdin. Of the 138 lines tested for culinary characteristics, 12 were unacceptable for color, texture or sloughing.

This research was funded in part by the Pennsylvania Potato Research Program and a Special USDA grant. Growers, industry and cooperating breeding programs contributed to this project. We would like to acknowledge Chad Moore, Bob Leiby, Andy Muza, and other part time staff. Without their assistance to this project, we could not accomplish all the research and prepare this report.

Table 1. Chip color results of potato evaluation at Rock Springs, Centre County, 2012 - 2013.

Variety/	Specific		Chi	o Color	
Line	Gravity	Dec.1	Jan. ²	Feb. ³	Mar. ⁴
Atlantic	1.084	5	5	4	6
Dakota Crisp	1.076	5	6	6	5
JOMA	1.076	6	7	7	7
Katahdin	1.072	8	7	7	7
Kennebec	1.073	8	7	7	7
Rochdale Gold-Doree yf	1.078	7	7	6	6
Snowden	1.085	3	3	3	3
Superior	1.070	7	7	7	7
Yukon Gem yf	1.073	5	6	5	5
Yukon Gold yf	1.076	8	8	8	8
AF0338-17	1.079	6	6	5	6
AF4013-3 yf	1.084	6	6	5	6
AF4125-1	1.075	3	5	5	5
AF4157-6	1.080	4	3	3	4
B2727-2	1.093	3	4	3	3
B2731-11	1.084	3	4	4	5
BNC182-5	1.086	5	6	6	6
NYE106-4	1.088	6	4	4	5
AF3011-34	1.033	7	8	7	8
AF4130-7	1.079	5	6	5	7
AF4363-5	1.083	3	3	3	3
AF4376-3	1.075	3	8	6	7
AF4430-2	1.063	7	7	7	7
AF4437-5	1.077	5	6	5	5
AF4454-3	1.055	6	7	7	7
AF4463-7	1.071	6	6	7	7
Markies yf	1.080	5	5	5	6
Elkton	1.082	3	6	6	6
BNC202-3 yf	1.089	6	5	4	5
B2728-2	1.083	4	5	5	6
B2737-2	1.081	5	5	5	6
B2738-3	1.069	6	7	6	7
B2589-3	1.076	6	6	6	5
Reba	1.072	5	5	5	5
NY140	1.076	3	4	3	5
NY141	1.077	6	6	6	7
NY151 (G73-1)	1.064	8	9	8	6
ATCO0293-1W/Y yf	1.067	8	8	9	7
CO99045-1W/Y yf	1.085	8	8	7	8
CO00412-5W/Y yf	1.086	6	6	6	7
MSL211-3	1.072	8	9	8	8
Spartan Splash yf	1.072	6	6	6	O
A00293-2Y ^{yf}	1.078	7	6	6	7
		5	6 4	6	5
Lady Lenora	1.091				
Sifra	1.078	9	10	9	9
Sylvana ^{yf}	1.062	8	8	7	7
Opera ^{yf}	1.085	5	5	6	5
Snowbird	1.072	7	8	7	7
Challenger yf	1.084	5	5	5	5
W2324-1	1.086	4	6	4	6

Variety/	Specific		Chip	Color	
Line	Gravity	Dec. ¹	Jan. ²	Feb. ³	Mar. ⁴
AF4138-8	1.071	5	6	6	7
AF4421-4	1.080	7	7	7	8
AF4449-2	1.076	7	9	9	9
AF4463-8	1.066	5	4	4	6
AF4552-5	1.076	6	6	-	6
AF4573-2	1.095	5	4	4	5
AF4640-1	1.082	6	7	6	7
AF4725-14	1.081	7	7	8	8
AF4840-1	1.080	6	6	6	6
AF4852-2	1.066	5	7	7	7
AF4852-4	1.072	5	6	6	6
AF4917-3	1.079	4	5	5	5
AF4965-2	1.075	5	6	4	6
AF4975-3	1.086	5	6	5	7
B2833-16	1.090	6	6	6	6
MSJ126-9Y yf	1.081	5	5	5	5

Chip color is based on a 1-10 scale with 1 =lightest, 10 =darkest, 1-5 =acceptable chip color.

yf = Yellow Flesh

Dec. = Stored at 55°F from November 26, 2012 and chipped on December 17 & 18, 2012

Jan. = Stored at 45°F from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55°F three weeks

prior to chipping on January 31 & Febuary 1, 2013.

³ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF six weeks prior to chipping on February 20 & 21, 2013.

⁴ Mar. = Stored at 45°F from November 27, 2012 and chipped on March 7 & 8, 2013.

Table 2. Chip color results of potato evaluation in Lehigh County, Forrest Wessner Farm, 2012 - 2013.

Variety/	Specific		Chip	Color	
Line	Gravity	Dec.1	Jan. ²	Feb. ³	Mar. ⁴
Atlantic	1.076	6	7	6	6
Snowden	1.076	4	3	5	5
Reba	1.064	5	5	6	6
Superior	1.059	10	8	8	10
Yukon Gold yf	1.072	7	7	8	8
AF0338-17	1.076	6	6	7	6
AF4013-3 yf	1.066	6	7	6	6
AF4363-5	1.069	3	3	4	5
AF3011-34	1.066	8	8	7	8
Sifra	1.074	10	10	9	9
Harley Blackwell	1.065	7	8	7	8
Elkton	1.079	6	7	6	7
BNC182-5	1.077	5	4	6	5
BNC202-3 yf	1.079	5	6	5	6
B2727-2	1.071	7	6	6	7
B2589-3	1.064	7	7	7	7
NY140	1.080	5	4	3	4
NY141	1.064	8	8	7	8
NY148	1.085	5	6	4	6
NY151	1.056	10	10	10	9
Rochdale Gold-Doree yf	1.065	7	8	7	7
Lehigh yf	1.068	7	7	8	9
ACTO0293-1W/Y yf	1.061	7	8	7	7
Markies yf	1.071	5	5	6	6
Lady Lenora	1.064	7	8	7	8
Sylvana ^{yf}	1.046	8	8	8	-
Opera ^{yf}	1.072	6	6	6	6

Chip color is based on a 1 - 10 scale with 1 =lightest, 10 =darkest, 1 - 5 =acceptable chip color.

yf = Yellow Flesh

Dec. = Stored at 55°F from November 26, 2012 and chipped on December 18, 2012

Jan. = Stored at 45°F from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55°F three weeks prior to chipping on January 28, 2013.

³ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF six weeks prior to chipping on February 19, 2013.

⁴ Mar. = Stored at 45⁰F from November 27, 2012 and chipped on March 4, 2013.

Table 3. Chip color results of potato evaluation in Erie County, Mark Troyer Farm, 2012 - 2013.

Variety/	Specific		Chip	Color	
Line	Gravity	Dec. ¹	Jan. ²	Feb. ³	Mar. ⁴
Atlantic	1.080	4	3	3	4
Snowden	1.070	3	4	4	5
Reba	1.066	3	3	3	4
Yukon Gold yf	1.066	6	8	7	8
Lady Lenora	1.074	4	4	4	5
Yukon Gem yf	1.065	5	6	5	5
Sifra	1.065	10	9	9	10
NY140	1.078	3	4	5	4
NY141	1.074	4	5	4	5
NY151	1.059	7	9	8	8
AF4013-3 yf	1.073	5	5	5	6
BNC182-5	1.077	3	5	6	5
AF4363-5	1.074	3	3	3	3
CO99045-1W/Y yf	1.076	7	7	7	7
Snowbird	1.071	5	6	5	6
Opera ^{yf}	1.083	5	5	6	6
B2589-3	1.073	5	4	4	5
B2727-2	1.087	3	3	4	4
B2731-11	1.077	4	4	3	3
NY148	1.083	3	4	5	4
AF4157-6	1.077	4	3	4	3
AF0338-17	1.076	3	5	5	5
W2324-1	1.079	4	5	5	5

Chip color is based on a 1 - 10 scale with 1 =lightest, 10 =darkest, 1 - 5 =acceptable chip color.

yf = Yellow Flesh

Dec. = Stored at 55°F from November 26, 2012 and chipped on December 19, 2012 ² Jan. = Stored at 45°F from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55°F three weeks prior to chipping on January 28, 2013.

³ Feb. = Stored at 45⁰F from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55⁰F six weeks prior to chipping on February 18, 2013.

⁴ Mar. = Stored at 45⁰F from November 27, 2012 and chipped on March 4, 2013.

Table 4. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, specific gravity, and French fry color for russet skinned or long white potato evaluation trial at Rock Springs Plant Pathology Farm, 2012.

Variety/	Yield (cwt/A) ¹	% of	Percent ³	Specific	Frenc	h Fry (Color ⁴
<u>Line</u>	Total	>1 7/8"	Standard ²	Pickouts	Gravity	Dec. ⁵	Feb.	<u>Feb.</u> ⁷
Alpine Russet	456	267	106	32	1.075	0	0	0
Classic Russet	420	339	135	13	1.068	1	1	1
Dakota Trailblazer	402	288	115	23	1.097	00	00	00
Premier Russet	349	238	95	26	1.082	00	00	00
Russet Burbank	408	135	54	63	1.066	1	1	1
Russet Norkotah #3177	322	251	100	17	1.062	1	1	1
AF3001-6	556	327	130	38	1.079	0	00	00
AF3008-3	240	190	76	10	1.090	0	00	00
AF3317-15	304	129	51	51	1.090	1	0	0
AF3362-1	306	190	76	34	1.064	1	1	1
AF4040-2	308	154	61	46	1.076	0	1	0
AF4172-2	306	272	108	1	1.078	1	1	1
AF4113-2	335	270	108	9	1.067	1	0	1
AF4124-4	349	162	65	49	1.066	1	1	1
AF4124-7	300	170	68	39	1.073	1	1	0
AF4222-5	228	174	69	19	1.069	1	0	1
AF4320-7	436	234	93	41	1.077	1	1	0
AF4320-17	376	272	108	20	1.075	0	0	00
AF4329-7	320	200	80	23	1.067	2	2	2
AF4347-1	392	179	71	52	1.076	2	2	2
AF4607-1	286	156	62	39	1.074	1	1	1
AF4609-1	350	162	64	50	1.079	1	1	1
AF4185-1	406	277	110	18	1.069	0	0	1
AC00395-2RU	478	259	103	37	1.085	1	2	1
CO99053-3RU	370	222	88	31	1.076	1	0	0
CO99100-1RU	211	159	63	11	1.061	1	1	1
AC99375-1RU	419	223	89	36	1.081	1	1	0
CO99053-4RU	293	140	56	40	1.061	2	1	1
A01025-4	346	222	88	27	1.075	1	0	1
A98345-1	341	244	97	22	1.081	0	0	0
Russet Norkotah	298	215	86	21	1.061	2	3	2
Markies yf	415	293	116	23	1.071	0	0	0
Lady Lenora	330	177	70	31	1.075	1	0	0
AF4430-1 (round white)*	476	442	176	1	1.059	1	1	0
AF4720-17*	287	210	84	26	1.073	1	0	0
AF4749-5*	371	256	102	23	1.081	1	1	0
AF4749-14*	513	317	126	25	1.082	0	1	0
AF4953-2*	295	220	87	18	1.077	2	1	1
CO99045-1W/Y* yf	503	301	120	32	1.075	2	1	1

Replicated trials are the average of 3 replicates except for those lines with * which were non-replicated.

¹ Yield Total = all yield including pickouts. Yield >1 7/8" = categories 2, 3, 4 and 5 excluding pickouts.

² Percentage of the standard, Atlantic for >1 7/8" yield.

³ Percentage of total that are pickouts.

⁴ French Fry Color: USDA Scale Color Standers for Frozen Fried Potatoes with 000 = lightest, 4 = darkest.

⁵ Dec. = Stored at 55°F from November 26, 2012 and fried on December 12, 2012.

⁶ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF four weeks prior to frying on February 7, 2013.

⁷ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF seven weeks prior to frying on February 25, 2013.

Table 5. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, specific gravity, and French fry color for russet skinned or long white NE1031 potato evaluation trial at Rock Springs Plant Pathology Farm, 2012.

Variety/	Yield (cwt/A) ¹	% of	Percent ³	Specific	French	r Fry (Color ⁴
Line	Total	>1 7/8"	Standard ²	Pickouts	Gravity	Dec. ⁵	Feb. ⁶	Feb. ⁷
Alpine Russet	456	267	106	32	1.075	0	0	0
Classic Russet	420	339	135	13	1.068	1	1	1
Dakota Trailblazer	402	288	115	23	1.097	00	00	00
Premier Russet	349	238	95	26	1.082	00	00	00
Russet Burbank	408	135	54	63	1.066	1	1	1
Russet Norkotah #3177	322	251	100	17	1.062	1	1	1
AF3001-6	556	327	130	38	1.079	0	00	00
AF3008-3	240	190	76	10	1.090	0	00	00
AF3317-15	304	129	51	51	1.090	1	0	0
AF3362-1	306	190	76	34	1.064	1	1	1
AF4040-2	308	154	61	46	1.076	0	1	0
AF4172-2	306	272	108	1	1.078	1	1	1

Yield Total = all yield including pickouts. Yield >1 7/8" = categories 2, 3, 4 and 5 excluding pickouts.

Percentage of the standard, Atlantic for >1 7/8" yield.

Replicated trials are the average of 4 replicates.

³ Percentage of total that are pickouts.

⁴ French Fry Color: USDA Scale Color Standers for Frozen Fried Potatoes with 000 = lightest, 4 = darkest.

⁵ Dec. = Stored at 55°F from November 26, 2012 and fried on December 12, 2012. ⁶ Feb. = Stored at 45°F from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55°F four weeks prior to frying on February 7, 2013.

⁷ Feb. = Stored at 45°F from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55°F seven weeks prior to frying on February 25, 2013.

Table 6. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, specific gravity, and French fry color for russet skinned or long white potato evaluation trial in Erie County, Mark Troyers Farm, 2012.

Variety/	Yield (cwt/A) ¹	% of	Percent ³	Specific		h Fry (
Line	Total	>1 7/8"	Standard ²	Pickouts	Gravity	Dec. ⁵	Feb. ⁶	Feb. ⁷
Atlantic	264	237	100	6	1.080	-	-	_
CO99053-4RU	156	54	23	43	1.066	00	0	0
CO99045-1W/Y ^{yf}	468	286	121	26	1.076	1	2	1
Russet Norkotah	183	137	58	13	1.067	0	1	1
Dakota Trailblazer	388	244	103	33	1.097	0	00	0
AF3001-6	373	246	104	28	1.078	00	00	00
Premier Russet	172	111	47	18	1.077	00	00	00
Markies yf	446	301	127	26	1.076	0	0	0
CO99053-3RU	223	124	53	34	1.074	0	0	0
Challenger yf	375	180	76	29	1.080	0	0	0
AF3317-15	241	120	51	46	1.083	0	0	0
Silverton Russet	293	217	92	18	1.065	00	0	00

¹ Yield Total = all yield including pickouts. Yield >1 7/8" = categories 2, 3, 4 and 5 excluding pickouts.

Non – replicated trial.

Russets except Silverton Russet were planted 10-in. apart with 24 seed pieces per 20-ft plot, Silverton Russet and Atlantic were spaced 8-in. apart with 30 seed pieces per 20-ft plot.

² Percentage of the standard, Atlantic for >1 7/8" yield.

³ Percentage of total that are pickouts.

⁴ French Fry Color: USDA Scale Color Standers for Frozen Fried Potatoes with 000 = lightest, 4 = darkest.

⁵ Dec. = Stored at 55^oF from November 26, 2012 and fried on December 11, 2012.

⁶ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF four weeks prior to frying on February 8, 2013.

⁷ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF seven weeks prior to frying on February 26, 2013.

Table 7. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, specific gravity, and French fry color for russet skinned or long white potato evaluation trial in Lehigh County, Forrest Wessner Farm, 2012.

Variety/	Yield (cwt/A) ¹	% of	Percent ³	Specific	Frenc	h Fry (Color ⁴
Line	Total	>1 7/8"	Standard ²	Pickouts	Gravity	Dec. ⁵	Feb. ⁶	Feb. ⁷
Atlantic	494	447	100	3	1.076	-	-	-
Russet Norkotah	370	230	52	31	1.061	2	2	2
AF3001-6	491	249	56	38	1.068	0	00	00
Dakota Trailblazer	454	364	81	13	1.075	0	0	00
Premier Russet	264	152	34	22	1.078	0	0	0

Yield Total = all yield including pickouts. Yield >1 7/8" = categories 2, 3, 4 and 5 excluding pickouts.

Percentage of the standard, Atlantic for >1 7/8" yield.

Non – replicated trial.

Russets were planted 10-in. apart with 24 seed pieces per 20-ft plot, Atlantic were spaced 8-in. apart with 30 seed pieces per 20-ft plot.

³ Percentage of total that are pickouts.

⁴ French Fry Color: USDA Scale Color Standers for Frozen Fried Potatoes with 000 = lightest, 4 = darkest.

⁵ Dec. = Stored at 55⁰F from November 26, 2012 and fried on December 11, 2012.

⁶ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF four weeks prior to frying on February 8, 2013.

⁷ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF seven weeks prior to frying on February 26, 2013.

Table 8. Total yield, greater than 1 7/8" yield, specific gravity, and French fry color for potato commercial trials of three varieties in 2012 at: A) Lehigh County, Forrest Wessner Farm; B) Erie County, Kevin Troyer Farm; C) Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm. 200 lbs of each variety were planted in each location.

Variety/		Yield (Yield (cwt/A) ¹		Specific	French Fry Color ³			
Line		Total	>1 7/8"	Pickouts	Gravity	Dec. ⁴ Feb. ⁵ Feb. ⁶			
A	AF3001-6	356	199	36	1.070	00	0	00	
	Markies yf	268	117	19	1.067	-	1	0	
	Lady Lenora	237	84	34	1.066	-	-	-	
В	AF3001-6	434	308	26	1.079	00	0	00	
	Markies yf	375	246	21	1.079	0	0	0	
	Lady Lenora	265	97	19	1.089	-	-	-	
С	AF3001-6	417	334	18	1.082	0	0	00	
	Markies yf	305	237	16	1.077	0	0	0	
	Lady Lenora	223	98	29	1.089	_	-	-	

Yellow flesh variety is indicated with yf

¹ Yield Total = all yield including pickouts. Yield >1 7/8"

² Percentage of total that are pickouts.

³ French Fry Color: USDA Scale Color Standers for Frozen Fried Potatoes with 000 = lightest, 4 = darkest.

⁴ Dec. = Stored at 55°F from November 26, 2012 and fried on December 11, 2012.

⁵ Feb. = Stored at 45°F from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55°F four weeks prior to frying on February 8, 2013.

⁶ Feb. = Stored at 45^oF from November 27, 2012 than transferred to 55^oF seven weeks prior to frying on February 26, 2013.

Table 9. Baking, boiling, microwaving results of tablestock test for Germplasm evaluation trial in Rock Springs, Plant Pathology Farm, 2012.

Variety/	Boil ¹			Bake ²		Mi	crowave ³
Line	Color^{4}	Texture ⁵	-Sloughing ⁶	Color	Texture	Color	Texture
Atlantic	1	3		1	2	1	1
Dakota Crisp	1	2		1	2	1	2
JOMA	1	3		1	1	1	1
Katahdin	1	2		1	1	1	2
Kennebec	1	3		1	1	1	2
Rochdale Gold-Doree ^{yf}	3	2		3	1	2	2
Snowden	1	3		1	1	1	1
Superior	1	3		1	2	1	2
Yukon Gem yf	3	3		3	2	3	2
Yukon Gold ^{yf}	3	2		3	1	3	2
AF0338-17	1	2		1	2	1	2
AF4013-3 yf	3	2		3	1	3	2
AF4125-1	1	3		1	2	1	1
AF4157-6	1	2		1	2	1	2
B2727-2	1	2		1	1	1	1
B2731-11	1	2		1	2	1	2
BNC182-5	1	2		1	2	1	2
NYE106-4	1	2		1	2	1	2
AF3011-34	1	2		1	$\frac{2}{2}$	1	2
AF3011-34 AF4130-7					3		2
	1	2		1		1	
AF4363-5	1	2		1	1	1	1
AF4376-3	1	2		1	2	1	1
AF4430-2	1	2		1	1	1	2
AF4437-5	1	3		1	2	1	2
AF4454-3	1	3		1	3	1	3
AF4463-7	1	2		1	2	1	1
Markies ^{yf}	3	3		3	3	3	3
Elkton	1	2		1	2	1	1
BNC202-3 yf	2	1		2	1	2	3
B2728-2	1	2	1	1	1	1	2
B2737-2	1	3		1	3	1	3
B2738-3	1	3		1	2	1	3
B2589-3	1	2	1	1	1	1	2
Reba	1	3		1	1	1	2
NY140	1	2		1	1	1	3
NY141	1	3		1	3	1	2
NY151 (G73-1)	1	3		1	3	1	4
ATCO0293-1W/Y yr	3	3		3	2	3	2
CO99045-1W/Y ^{yf}	3	2		3	2	3	3
CO00412-5W/Y yf	3	2		3	1	3	2
MSL211-3	1	3		1	3	1	1
Spartan Splash yf	3	2		3	1	3	1
A00293-2Y yf	3	2		3	1	3	1
Lady Lenora	1	2		1	3	1	3
Sifra	1	2		1	2	1	2
Sylvana ^{yf}	3	3		3	2	3	2
Opera ^{yf}	3	1		3	1	3	1
Snowbird	1	2		1	2	1	2
Challenger yf	3	2		3	$\frac{-}{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$
W2324-1	1_	1		1_	1	1	1

Variety/	Boil ¹			Bake ²		Mic	erowave ³
Line	Color ⁴	Texture ⁵	-Sloughing ⁶	Color	Texture	Color	Texture_
AF4138-8	1	3	Sloughing	1	1	1	2
AF4421-4	1	3		1	1	1	1
AF4449-2	2	2		1	1	1	1
AF4463-8	1	3		1	1	1	2
AF4552-5		2					
	1			1	1	1	1
AF4573-2	1	1		1	1	1	1
AF4640-1	1	2		1	2	1	1
AF4725-14	1	2		1	1	1	1
AF4840-1	1	3		1	1	1	1
AF4852-2	1	3		1	3	1	3
AF4852-4	1	3		1	2	1	1
AF4917-3	1	1		1	1	1	2
AF4965-2	1	2		1	1	1	1
AF4975-3	1	2		1	1	1	2
B2833-16	1	2		1	1	1	2
MSJ126-9Y ^{yf}	2	2		2	1	2	1
Reds							
Chieftain	1	2		1	1	1	2
Dark Red Norland	1	3		1	3	1	3
Modoc	1	3		1	3	1	2
Red Sunset	1	3		1	3	1	3
B2863-7	1	2		1	3	1	2
B1816-5 ^{yf}	3	2		3	2	3	2
BCO01044-2	Pur	2		Pur	1	Pur	2
B2538-5	1	3		1	1	1	2
B2676-2	1	2		1	1	1	2
Adirondack Red	Pk	2		Pk	1	Pk	3
Adirondack Blue	Pur	3		Pur	1	Pur	2
H73-1	1	2		1	2	1	2
H90-4	1	3		1	2	1	2
CO97222-1R/R	Pk	2		Pk	3	Pk	2
CO00405-1RF	1	2		1	2	1	3
CO99256-2R	1	3		1	2	1	2
CO00415-1RF	1	3		1	3	1	3
MSS576-05SPL	2	2		2	2	2	1
MI Purple Sport I	1	2		1	2	1	2
NDA7985-1R	1	3		1	3	1	3
A99331-2RY ^{yf}	3	2		3	1	3	3
NDA050237B-1R	1	3		1	3	1	3
HZC 01-6087 yf	3	2		3	1	3	2
AF4815-1	1	4		1	4	1	4
AF4831-2	1	3		1	2	1	3
AF4831-3	1	4		1	3	1	3
AF4845-3	1	3		1	2	1	2
	1	3		1	1	1	3
AF4985-1							
BNC244-10	PurW			PurW	1	PurW	1
Colonial Purple	1	2		1	1	1	2
MSQ437-2PP	PurW			PurW	1	PurW	1
Blackberry	Pur	2		Pur	2	Pur	1
MSR226-ARR	Rd	2		Rd	2	Rd	1
MSR214-2P	Pur	3		Pur	2	Pur	2

Variety/	Boil ¹			Bake ²		Mi	icrowave ³
Line	Color ⁴	Texture ⁵	-Sloughing ⁶	Color	Texture	Color	Texture
Purple Heart	Pur	2		Pur	2	Pur	2
Russets							
Alpine Russet	1	3		1	2	1	3
Classic Russet	1	3		1	1	1	3
Dakota Trailblazer	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
Premier Russet	1	3		1	1	1	2
Russet Burbank	1	2		1	1	1	1
Russet Norkotah #3177	1	2		1	2	1	3
AF3001-6	1	3		1	3	1	3
AF3008-3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
AF3317-15	1	3		1	1	1	2
AF3362-1	1	3		1	2	1	1
AF4040-2	1	3		1	2	1	3
AF4172-2	1	2		1	2	1	3
AF4113-2	1	2		1	2	1	3
AF4124-4	1	3		1	3	1	2
AF4124-7	1	3		1	2	1	2
AF4222-5	1	3		1	3	1	3
AF4320-7	1	3	1	1	2	1	2
AF4320-17	1	3	1	1	2	1	3
AF4329-7	1	3		1	3	1	3
AF4347-1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2
AF4607-1	1	3		1	2	1	2
AF4609-1	1	3	1	1	3	1	3
AF4185-1	1	3		1	2	1	3
AC00395-2RU	1	3	1	1	2	1	3
CO99053-3RU	2	3		1	2	1	2
CO99100-1RU	1	3		1	3	1	3
AC99375-1RU	1	3		1	3	1	3
CO99053-4RU	1	3		1	2	1	3
A01025-4	1	2		1	3	1	2
A98345-1	1	3		1	2	1	2
Russet Norkotah	1	3		1	2	1	2
AF4430-1	1	3		1	3	1	2
AF4749-5	1	2		1	2	1	2
AF4749-14	1	2		1	3	1	2
AF4953-2	1	3		1	2	1	3

Tested: January 14 - 18, 2013 and Febuary 4 - 8, 2013.

YF = Yellow Flesh

Pur = Purple Flesh

Pk = Pink Flesh

Rd = Red Flesh

PurW = Purple and white flesh

¹ Boil 20 minutes.

² Bake 45 min. – 1 hr. ³ Microwave 4 – 8 minutes.

Microwave 4 – 8 minutes.

4 Color scored as follows: 1=white, 2=slightly yellow, 3=yellow, 4=white with gray edges, 5=gray with dark edges.

5 Texture scored as follows: 1=dry (mealy, 3= medium, 5=soggy.

6 Sloughing scored as follows: 1=some sloughing, 2= severe sloughing.

Yellow Flesh Notes

We rated the yellow flesh in December. We used Yukon Gold that was grown at Rock Springs

Scale:

YF1 - lighter than Yukon Gold YF2 - equal to Yukon Gold YF3 - darker than Yukon Gold

Rock Springs: YF1 YF2 YF3

BNC202-3 Yukon Gold A00293-2Y
Challenger Yukon Gem CO00412-5W/Y
AF4013-3 ATCO0293-1W/Y
MSJ126-9Y A66331-2RY (red skin)

Sylvana Opera

HZC 01-6087 (red skin)

Markies

CO99045-1W/Y B1816-5 (purple skin) Rochdale Gold - Doree

Spartan Splash (white skin with purple splotchs)

Red Flesh Varieties

CO97222-1R MSR226-ARR Adirondack Red

Rated in order of darkest to lightest in flesh color

Purple Flesh Varieties

Adirondack Blue Blackberry BCO01044-2

Rated in order of darkest to lightest in flesh color

Purple skin varieties with a unique purple and cream color flesh.

MSQ437-2PP BNC244-10